World Bank Indicators: GDP per capita (2015 USD) and population. Eurostat: Population count of 15 -year-old immigrant and native youth OECD International Migration Database: Lagged immigrant inflow and
immigrant stock data. immigrant stock data.
CEPII BACI: Geographical and historical predictors of immigration. MIPEX: Migrant Integration Policy Index, an international index (0-100) comparing countries on eight immigration policy areas.

## PISA Sampling

Key variable: Immigrant presence defined at the school level, as an Key variable: Immigrant presence defined at the school
indicator for $>1 \%$ of sampled students being immigrants. School size: Only schools with $>25$ sampled students are kept.

Number of sampled students / PISA Proportion of immigrant students School (with cutoff) sampled / PISA school (with cutoff)

\# of sampled students/school


## Spatial and Time Variation



## Summary Statistics

PISA test scores in all subjects are standardized to a mean of 500 points, with a standard deviation of 100 points, in all waves. The percentage of
students when with sampled immigrants (treatment), the percentage is $9.03 \%$.

|  | All Students | Schools with $\leq 1 \%$ sampled Immigrants | Schools with $>1 \%$ Immigrants | Immigrant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math Score | $\begin{aligned} & 502.40 \\ & 886550 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{500.92}$ | 497.85 [86.62] | $\begin{aligned} & 466.23 \\ & 96.24 \end{aligned}$ |
| Science Score | $506.85$ | $\begin{array}{r} 510.39 \\ 187999 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 503.30 \\ & \hline 89.62] \end{aligned}$ | 470.18 10327 |
| Reading Score | $\begin{aligned} & 503.29 \\ & 91.699 \end{aligned}$ | $507.38$ | $\begin{aligned} & 499.17 \\ & 9923 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 463.37 \\ 988.057 \end{gathered}$ |
| Agree/Strongly Agree: I feel like I make friends easily | $\begin{gathered} 0.81 \\ {[0.39]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.81 \\ {[0.39]} \\ \hline 0 . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.81 \\ {[0.39]} \\ \hline 0.41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.77 \\ {[0.42]} \end{gathered}$ |
| Agree/Strongly Agree: I feel like belong at school | $\begin{gathered} 0.53 \\ {[.50]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.54 \\ {[0.50]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.52 \\ {[.500]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ {[0.50]} \end{gathered}$ |
| Agree/Strongly Agree: I feel like an outsider | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ {[0.29]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ {[0.30]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ {[0.28]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ {[0.33]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| \% Immigrant Students (School) | 4.70 | 0.00 | 9.03 | 17.65 |
| Observations | 292,381 | 140,143 | 152,238 | 12,889 |
| Note: Includes all observations with data recorded for gender, private school attendance, GDP per capita ( 2015 USD), parental education, and outcome variables in the table. Only including schools with more than 25 students sampled. |  |  |  |  |
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## Research Questions \& Hypotheses

How does the presence of immigrant peers in the classroom affect
native student test scores in math, science and reading? native student test scores in math, science, and reading? Test scores of natives will be lower in schools with more immigrants, in line with the
existing literature. Mechanism: Classroom heterogeneity d dives this effect. How do native students react to the presence of immigrant peers? - II education effect is strong, students may report feeling more pessimistic about the
schooling experince and about immigration. Do effects vary when considering country and student characteristics? Countries less open to immigration will drive the negative test score.

## Contributions

Broadens analysis across several European countries, whereas analysis is
Broadens analysis across seve
typically done within-country.
Introduces self-reported school experience outcomes as a measure of how students feel about their social schooling experience.

## Empirical Strategy: IV-FE (3)

Population proportion of immigrants at a larger geographical unit can be used as an instrument for school-level immigrant presence, which is endogenous if immigrants study disproportionately at schools with fewer With controls and TWFE, proportion of immigrant students at broader geographical level only influences native students through in-school effect.Proportion of 15-Year-Old Immigrants in Country (Eurostat)
$>1 \%$ Immigrant $\}_{\text {lec }}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}$ Proportion ${ }_{c t}+\gamma X_{\text {tscet }}+\alpha_{c}+\alpha_{w}+\epsilon_{\text {ver }}$
2 Proportion by Country, Year, and Community size (PISA)


 Main Specification:


Test Scores, Schools, and Countries Average PISA score and \% of immigrant students: Negative association at the school level, positive association at the country level. Therefore, it is school level, positive association at the country leve. Therefore, it is
important to condition on country characteristics to account for endogeneity in country choice (similar to Brunello and Rocco, 2013), or use FE.


## Background

Native students can be impacted by immigration if an increase in immigrant peers changes the teaching environment. In particular, if teachers spend more time aiding immigrant students, who may have spent early years in a different educational system- if "classroom heterogeneity" increases (Jensen and Rasmussen, 2011; Hunt, 2017)
ittle research on how native students respond socially or behaviourally to backgrounds may increase prosocial behaviour (Rao, 2019), but not in the context of immigration in Europe.

## Results: Test Scores of Natives

Controls include student and school characteristics, and GDP per capita. Estimates suggest a small and negative effect on native test scores

$$
\text { Figure. Score coefficients and } 95 \% \text { confidence interval }
$$



Results: School Experience of Natives Estimates suggest little to no effect on school social experience for native students, with the exception of a $7 \%$ increase in native students agreeing with making friends easily in school.


Results: Heterogeneity \& Mechanisms countries below median in terms of overall openness to migration could have a test score effect that is arger in magnitude, but estimates not precise.


Classroom heterogeneity mechanism: Redefining treatment as schools with speak a different language at home increases magnitude of test score effect.

| IV-2SLS <br> (Community size <br> IV) | live in a country for have the opportunity vote in elections." | Like me: "I am interested in how people from see the world | rested in finding out the traditions of different cultures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| >1\% Immigrants | 0.0315 <br> (0.0469) | 0.0839 (0.0407) | 0.0708 <br> (0.0413) |
| \% Contact with Immigrants (school) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0958 \\ (0.1401) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2567 \\ (0.1221) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2158 \\ & (0.1232) \\ & (0.123 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean | 0.7070 | 0.81 | 0.802 |
| F-stat* | 31.39 | 30.77 | 30.64 |
| Observa | 85,954 | 92,574 | 92,350 |

## Robustness: Spain

PISA identifies Autonomous Communities (NUTS 2 Regions) in Spain. Analysis is redone at the regional level for Spain, comparing communitysize IV to the more traditional geographic
Results differ slightly, but not significantly.
Rers.
Figure. Comparison of Coefficients all controls), \% 1 mm migrant Students in Autonomous Community (AC) as IV v. \% Immigrant Students in Community-size (SS); Map of \%


Alternative Treatment Definition

| Alternative treatment definition | Results (Test Scores) |
| :---: | :---: |
| >5\% 1 mm migran Students | Negative results (similar). |
| School-Aggregate \% "Contact with Immigrant Students" (2018) | Negative, but imprecise and insignificant test score results. |
| \% immigrant students (school) | Negative results. |

## Implications and Conclusions

 Attending school with immigrant students ( $9 \%$ on average) leads to approximately a $4-6 \%$ reduction in test scores amongst native students, but no large effect on native student self-reported school experience.Self-reported attitudes towards immigrants increase in positivity by around 5-10\%; not always significantly
Conclusion: Schooling effect likely not strong enough to negatively impact self-perceived native student experience. Students self-perceive as more open to different cultures, but there isn't enough evidence to determine if this translates to more tangible beliefs/actions. Students from countries with more restrictive immigration policy (proxied by MIPEX 2007) appea to hiv sto flo Future research: Include \# of adult immigrants in region as control, to differentiate in-school and labour market mechanisms (Hunt, 2017 Also investigate vocational schooling, a possible mechanism
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