

Alternative output, input and income concepts for the production accounts

3 W. Erwin Diewert^{1,2} · Kevin J. Fox²

4 Accepted: 22 August 2023

5 © The Author(s) 2023

6 Abstract

Definitions of output and input are key to studies of productivity analysis, as they are to the national accounts of countries. This paper systematically reviews alternative definitions at production unit and aggregate levels, illustrating the different perspectives that they provide on production and income, and making the case for their use in understanding different aspects of firm and country economic performance.

11 JEL Classification D24 · E01 · E23

12

13 Keywords Production · Income · System of National Accounts · Productivity · Capital · Accounting Theory

14 **1 Introduction**

This paper takes a new look at the production accounts in the international System of National Accounts with emphasis on alternative measures of output and primary input, with implications for the resulting alternative measures of productivity. Perhaps more importantly, the paper considers alternative measures of *income* generated by the production sector of an economy.

As well as their central use in informing macroeconomic 22 policy, national accounts data on inputs and outputs for 23 countries are used extensively in the academic literature on 24 25 productivity; see for example Solow (1957), Jorgenson and Griliches (1967), Diewert and Fox (1999) and Fernald and 26 Inklaar (2020). They are also used in the literature on effi-27 28 ciency analysis; see for example Färe et al. (1994) and Kumar and Russell (2002). Given their extensive use and 29 broad acceptance as the authoritative source of information 30 31 on economic performance, it is tempting to believe that all matters relating to national accounts have been settled by 32 the international community. Yet the United Nations 33

Kevin J. Fox K.Fox@unsw.edu.au System of National Accounts (SNA), which provides guidance to countries on optimal practice, is periodically revised.¹ Hence, it seems worthwhile to suggest an accounting framework which would supplement the usual gross and net domestic product measures with a measure that would better measure the income generated by the production sector of a national economy.² 40

Here we start from some basic definitions which lead us to propose alternatives measures of output, input and income. In doing so, we stay within the current production boundaries of the SNA 2008. That is, our paper is not a contribution to the growing literature on "Beyond GDP" concepts nor on "GDP and Beyond", but rather stays focussed on alternatives within the existing SNA production boundary.³

41

42

43

44

45

46

¹ University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

² UNSW Sydney, Kensington, Australia

 $[\]frac{1}{1}$ At the time of writing, the current version is the SNA 2008 (United Nations 2009), with the next revision due to be released in 2025.

² It should be noted that our preferred measure of income generated by a production unit is very close to Balk's Net Value Added; see Balk (2010; S244) (2011; 503). See also Schreyer (2009; 43-51) on net income measures in the System of National Accounts. Alternative income concepts are extensively discussed in Stiglitz et al. (2009).

³ The "Beyond GDP" literature typically focusses on ending the use of GDP in policy making in favour of alternatives measures of progress. The "GDP and Beyond" literature focusses retaining GDP but with possible extensions to better capture things that are important yet are not currently (well) measured in the national accounts, such as household work, consumption of free digital goods, or the use of the environment as an input. See Stiglitz et al. (2009), Coyle and Mitra-Kahn (2017), OECD (2018), Corrado et al. (2017) and Brynjolfsson et al. (2019).

The model of production that we use in this paper is based on treating capital as both an input used and output produced by the production sector of an economy. This model of production was developed by the economist Hicks and the accountants Edwards and Bell as shown by the following two quotations:

"We must look at the production process during a period 54 of time, with a beginning and an end. It starts, at the 55 commencement of the Period, with an Initial Capital Stock; 56 to this there is applied a Flow Input of labour, and from it 57 there emerges a Flow Output called Consumption; then 58 there is a Closing Stock of Capital left over at the end. If 59 Inputs are the things that are put in, the Outputs are the 60 things that are got out, and the production of the Period is 61 considered in isolation, then the Initial Capital Stock is an 62 Input. A Stock Input to the Flow Input of labour; and further 63 (what is less well recognised in the tradition, but is equally 64 clear when we are strict with translation), the Closing 65 66 Capital Stock is an Output, a Stock Output to match the Flow Output of Consumption Goods. Both input and output 67 have stock and flow components; capital appears both as 68 input and as output" John R. Hicks (1961; 23). 69

"The business firm can be viewed as a receptacle into 70 which factors of production, or inputs, flow and out of 71 which outputs flow...The total of the inputs with which the 72 73 firm can work within the time period specified includes those inherited from the previous period and those acquired 74 during the current period. The total of the outputs of the 75 business firm in the same period includes the amounts of 76 outputs currently sold and the amounts of inputs which are 77 bequeathed to the firm in its succeeding period of activity." 78 Edgar O. Edwards and Philip W. Bell (1961; 71-72). 79

Hicks and Edwards and Bell obviously had the same model 80 of production in mind: in each accounting period, the business 81 unit combines the capital stocks and goods in process that it 82 has inherited from the previous period with "flow" inputs 83 purchased in the current period (such as labour, materials, 84 services and additional durable inputs) to produce current 85 period "flow" outputs as well as end of the period depreciated 86 capital stock components which are regarded as outputs from 87 88 the perspective of the current period (but will be regarded as inputs from the perspective of the next period). This model of 89 production could be viewed as an Austrian model of produc-90 91 tion in honour of the Austrian economist Böhm-Bawerk (1891) who viewed production as an activity which used raw 92 materials and labour to further process partly finished goods 93 into finally demanded goods.⁴ 94

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. The next 95 section introduces production accounting using a simplified 96 context of a single production unit. Section 3 considers 97 alternative net output, input and income concepts for a 98 production unit, and Section 4 provides additional discus-99 sion about our accounting framework. Section 5 considers 100 corresponding economy wide measures with multiple types 101 of capital and Section 6 concludes. 102

2 Production unit accounting: the hicks and edwards and bell framework 103

In order to simplify the notation, we consider a very simple 105 model of production in this section for a single production 106 unit that produces or uses only six types of goods and 107 services during an accounting period t. A production unit 108 could be a firm, a division of a firm or what national income 109 accountants call an establishment. The establishment must 110 be able to provide period by period accounting information 111 about periodic revenues and costs as well as balance sheet 112 information on the status of its asset holdings at the end of 113 each accounting period. 114

Equation (1) below defines the production unit's pure 115 profits in period t, Π^t , using the Hicks, Edwards and Bell 116 approach to production theory: 117

$$\Pi^{t} \equiv P_{Y}^{t} Q_{Y}^{t} - P_{Z}^{t} Q_{Z}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t} Q_{IP}^{t} - P_{L}^{t} Q_{L}^{t} + P_{K}^{t} Q_{K}^{t} - (1 + r^{t}) P_{K}^{t-1} Q_{K}^{t-1}$$
(1)

The price and quantity variables appearing on the right 120 hand side of (1) are defined as follows: 121

119

122

132

133

 $P_Y^t \equiv$ (unit value) price of output Y during period t;

 $Q_Y^t \equiv \text{total quantity of output } y \text{ produced during period } t;$ 123 $P_Z^t \equiv (\text{unit value}) \text{ price of intermediate input } Z \text{ purchased}$ 124 during period t; 125

 $Q_Z^t \equiv$ total quantity purchased of intermediate input Z 126 purchased during period *t*; 127

 $P_{IP}^{t} \equiv$ (unit value) price of one unit of an investment 128 good *purchased* during period *t*; 129

 $Q_{IP}^{t} \equiv$ total number of units of the investment good 130 *purchased* during period *t*; 131

 $P_L^t \equiv$ wage rate for one hour of labour used by the producer during period *t*

 $Q_L^t \equiv \text{total hours worked in period } t$ by the type of labour 134 under consideration; 135

 $P_K^t \equiv$ price of a unit of the capital stock held by the unit 136 at the end of period *t*; 137

 $Q_K^t \equiv$ quantity of the capital stock held by the production 138 unit at the end of period *t*; 139

 $P_K^{t-1} \equiv$ price of a unit of the capital stock held by the unit 140 at the beginning of period *t*; 141

⁴ This Austrian model of production was further developed by von Neumann (1937) and Malinvaud (1953) but these authors did not develop the user cost implications of the model. On the user cost implications of the Austrian model, see Hicks (1973; 27-35) and Diewert (1977; 108-111) (1980; 472-474). Balk (2010) (2011) used this neo-Austrian accounting framework.

144

 $Q_{\kappa}^{t-1} \equiv$ quantity of the capital stock held by the unit at 142 the beginning of period *t*; 143

 $r^t \equiv$ period t cost of capital for the production unit.

Units of the total output Q_Y^t could be sold to domestic 145 customers or could be exported. Later in the paper, this dis-146 tinction will become important when we aggregate over pro-147 ducers but at present, we do not have to distinguish domestic 148 sales from foreign sales. Similarly, units of the intermediate 149 input and units of the investment good could be purchased 150 from domestic suppliers or could be imported.⁵ 151

We note that prices and quantities of output, intermediate 152 input, purchased investment goods and labour can in principle 153 be observed by the accountant. However, the quantity and price 154 of the production unit's beginning and end of period capita 155 stocks, Q_K^{t-1} , Q_K^t , P_K^{t-1} and P_K^t , typically cannot be observed 156 but must be estimated by the accountant. We will indicate how 157 this can be done shortly. The production unit's period t cost of 158 capital is denoted by r^{t} on the right hand side of (1). If the 159 160 production unit purchased its beginning of period t capital stock and financed this purchase by issuing a one period bond at the 161 interest rate r^{t^*} in the amount equal to $P_K^{t-1}Q_K^{t-1}$, then r^t in 162 definition (1) would equal the observed bond interest rate $r^{f^*.6}$ 163 However, in general, since a firm's holdings of beginning of the 164 period assets are financed by a mixture of debt and equity 165 capital, a firm's weighted cost of capital must be estimated by 166 167 the national income accountant since there is no unambiguous estimate for the equity portion of a firm's financial capital. Q1 8

Standard firm accounting does not allow for a deduction 169 170 for the cost of equity capital⁷ but following Hicks' (1946) intertemporal theory of the firm, it is clear that future cash 171 flows should be discounted by an appropriate interest rate or 172 cost of capital in order to make future cash flows compar-173 able to present cash. Accounting conventions suggest that 174 current period flows should be cumulated over the 175 accounting period and "realised" at the end of the 176 accounting period.⁸ Thus the discounted pure profits of the 177 production unit for period t are equal to minus the beginning 178 of the period cost of the capital stock, $-P_K^{t-1}Q_K^{t-1}$, plus the 179

period t discounted cash flow of firm revenues minus firm 180 expenditures on flow inputs and market purchases of invest-181 ment goods, $(1 + r^t)^{-1} (P_Y^t Q_Y^t - P_Z^t Q_Z^t - P_{IP}^t Q_{IP}^t - P_L^t Q_L^t)$, plus the discounted end of period value of the production 182 183 unit's capital stock, $(1 + r^t)^{-1} P_K^t Q_K^t$. But if we measure 184 profits from the perspective of the end of period t, then the 185 resulting "anti-discounted" profits are equal to 186 $(1 + r^t)P_K^{t-1}Q_K^{t-1}$ plus cash flow plus the value of the capital 187 stock at the end of period t, which is equal to pure profits Π^t 188 defined by (1). 189

At this point, we need to make some assumptions about 190 investments, depreciation and capital stocks. The first point 191 to note is that, in general, investment goods could be pur-192 chased or they could be manufactured by the production 193 unit. Thus we have defined P_{IP}^{t} and Q_{IP}^{t} as the period t price 194 and quantity of purchased investment goods. However, the 195 production unit may also produce units of the investment 196 good internally for its own use. Thus define $Q_{II}^{t} > 0$ as the 197 amount of internally produced investment (or own-account 198 investment) and P_{II}^{t} as the imputed price for a unit of this 199 internally produced investment.⁹ Define period t total 200 investment as the sum of purchased investment, Q_{IP}^{t} , plus 201 internally produced investment, Q_{II}^t : 202

$$Q_I^t = Q_{IP}^t + Q_{II}^t \tag{2}$$

Our next assumption relates period t total investment to 205 the beginning and end of period t capital stocks held by the 206 unit; i.e., we assume that the following equation holds: 207

$$Q_{K}^{t} = (1 - \delta^{t})Q_{K}^{t-1} + Q_{I}^{t}$$
(3)

where δ^t is the period t geometric depreciation rate that is 210 applied to the production unit's beginning of the period capital stock Q_K^{t-1} in order to obtain the number of constant quality units of the initial capital stock at the end of period t 213 that are equivalent to new units of the capital stock.¹⁰ 214

209

⁵ If the Production Unit (PU) is producing the investment good as an output, then sales of these investment goods are included in $P_{V}^{t}Q_{V}^{t}$. However, for the PU that purchases the investment good, the purchases are recorded in the purchasing unit's $P_{IP}^t Q_{IP}^t$. Similarly, flow outputs of the PU under consideration that are purchased by other domestic units are recorded in the purchasing unit's $P_{Z}^{t}Q_{Z}^{t}$.

See Diewert (2014) for a more complete accounting model that deals with the financing of the initial capital stock and other financial transactions using the Hicksian accounting framework.

This accounting convention dates back to Garske and Fells (1893). For a discussion of this convention, see Anthony (1973). Diewert and Fox (1999) attributed some of the fall in the worldwide fall in Total Factor Productivity during the 1970s to the problems associated with measuring income using historical cost accounting when inflation is high.

[&]quot;This [convention] accords with the assumption conventional in discrete compounding that flows occur at the end of each period." K.V. Peasnell (1981; 56).

⁹ If $Q_{II}^t = 0$, there is no need to impute P_{II}^t . If $Q_{II}^t > 0$, then define P_{II}^t as the average cost of producing the internally manufactured investment goods. Typically, Q_{II}^{t} will be a small amount of total investment. If firms make very large infrastructure investments such as building pipelines or new natural gas liquefaction plants, then internally produced investments become important.

¹⁰ The geometric model of depreciation was used by Jorgenson and Griliches (1967) in their classic study of the Total Factor Productivity of the U.S. economy. For additional materials on the geometric model of depreciation, see Jorgenson (1989) (1996a) (1996b) and Schreyer (2001) (2009). Schreyer (2009) and Balk (2011) both introduce a modification of the classical geometric depreciation model by assuming that this period's investment adds to the productive capital stock at the midpoint of the present period instead of at the end of the current period. This is a reasonable assumption but implementing it leads to extra complications in that we need to construct separate user costs for new investments and the depreciated capital stocks at the end of the accounting period. Also deferring depreciation of newly purchased capital stocks until the period after their purchase is consistent with accounting conventions; see Peasnell (1981).

The price of a new unit of the capital stock at the 215 beginning of period t, P_K^{t-1} , should be equal to the price of a 216 new investment good at the beginning of period t. Note that 217 this beginning of the period price is not necessarily equal to 218 the period t market price of the investment good, P_{IP}^{t} , since 219 P_{IP}^{t} price represents the average price of the investment 220 good over the entire duration of period t. Similarly, the price 221 of a new unit of the capital stock at the end of period t, P_{K}^{t} , 222 is not necessarily equal to P_{IP}^{t} . If inflation is low, then P_{K}^{t} 223 could be approximated by P_{IP}^{t} . If general inflation is high 224 during period t, then P_K^t could be approximated by (1/2) 225 $P_{IP}^{t-1} + (\frac{1}{2}) P_{IP}^{t}$.¹¹ More generally, one could argue that in a 226 situation where asset prices are very volatile, instead of 227 using the price of an investment good at the beginning and 228 end of a period, one should use a longer run smoothed 229 230 investment price for P_{K}^{t} that captures the *trend* in the price of a new unit of a particular capital stock component. 231 Typically firms do not actually sell their capital stocks; they 232 233 hold units of their capital stock until they are completely worn out. However, the owners of firms are interested in 234 end of period values for the capital stocks held by the firm 235 236 because there is always the option of selling these capital stocks. If asset prices are very volatile, using a smoothed 237 estimate for the current values of capital stock components 238 may give investors a more realistic picture of the current 239 opportunity cost of holding the existing capital stocks in the 240 production unit rather than using an estimated current value 241 which is subject to large fluctuations. 242

In any case, we assume that the national income accountant has estimates available for the beginning and end of period *t* prices of a new unit of the capital stock. These prices can be used to define the period *t* asset inflation rate i^t using the following equation:

$$1 + i^t = P_K^t / P_K^{t-1} (4)$$

Thus $P'_K = (1 + i^t)P'_K^{-1}$. Now use (4) to eliminate P'_K and use (3) to eliminate Q'_K from definition (1). This allows us to express period *t* pure profits Π^t for the production unit as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{t} &= P_{Y}^{t} Q_{Y}^{t} - P_{Z}^{t} Q_{Z}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t} Q_{IP}^{t} - P_{L}^{t} Q_{L}^{t} \\ &+ (1+i^{t}) P_{K}^{t-1} \left[(1-\delta^{t}) Q_{K}^{t-1} + Q_{I}^{t} \right] - (1+r^{t}) P_{K}^{t-1} Q_{K}^{t-1} \\ &= P_{Y}^{t} Q_{Y}^{t} - P_{Z}^{t} Q_{Z}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t} Q_{IP}^{t} + P_{K}^{t} Q_{I}^{t} - P_{L}^{t} Q_{L}^{t} - U^{t} Q_{K}^{t-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(5)$$

255

The *period t user cost of capital* U^t which makes its 256 appearance in the second line of (5) is defined as follows:¹² 257

$$U^{t} = [(1+r^{t}) - (1+i^{t})(1-\delta^{t})]P_{K}^{t-1}$$

= $[r^{t} - i^{t} + (1+i^{t})\delta^{t}]P_{K}^{t-1}$ (6)

Thus the user cost of capital consists of three terms: the 260 interest rate term $r^t P_K^{t-1}$, less an asset price inflation term 261 $-iP_K^{t-1}$, plus a depreciation term valued at the end of period 262 price of a new asset, $(1 + i^t)\delta^t P_K^{t-1} = \delta^t P_K^t$.¹³ 263

Note that the treatment of investment in expression (5) is 264 not conventional: see the terms $-P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{I}^{t}$ which add 265 to profits the value of total investment Q_I^t valued at the end 266 of period price of a unit of capital, P_K^t , and subtract the value 267 of purchased investment valued at market prices, $-P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}$. 268 The remaining terms in (5) are conventional: $P_{y}^{t}Q_{y}^{t} - P_{z}^{t}Q_{z}^{t}$ 269 is equal to revenues less payments for intermediate inputs, or 270 value added, and $P_L^t Q_L^t + U^t Q_K^{t-1}$ is primary input cost made 271 up of labour cost, $P_L^t Q_L^t$, and capital services cost, $U^t Q_K^{t-1}$. 272

It should be noted that a conventional economic treatment of firm accounting would not measure profits 274 according to definition (1) or its special case (5) which was 275 derived from (1) using assumptions (2)–(4). Conventional 276 economic accounting would immediately capitalise all 277 investments and define *conventional period t pure profits* of 278 the production unit, Π^{t*} , as follows: 279

$$\Pi^{t*} \equiv P_Y^t Q_Y^t - P_Z^t Q_Z^t - P_L^t Q_L^t - U^t Q_K^{t-1}$$
(7)

However, Π^{t*} defined by (7) will equal Π^{t} defined by (1) or (5) *if* the end of period *t* price of capital, P_{K}^{t} , is set equal to the period *t* average price of market purchased investments, P_{IP}^{t} , *and if* there are no internally produced investment goods so that total investment, Q_{I}^{t} , equals purchased investment, Q_{IP}^{t} . 286

In the following section, we will look at alternative 287 output and input measures that could be constructed using 288 our Hicksian measurement framework. 289

3 Alternative domestic net output, input and income concepts 290

Period t Gross Domestic Input or Income generated by the production unit, *GDI*^t, can be defined as the value of labour 293

¹¹ Commercial accounting "solves" this capital stock valuation problem by using historical cost accounting which simply carries forward the initial purchase value of a capital stock and applies a suitable depreciation rate to this initial value without making any adjustment for price change. See Ijiri (1979) for a defence of historical cost accounting.

¹² Babbage (1835; 287) described the user cost idea in words and Walras (1954; 268-269) developed an explicit user cost formula (in 1874) as did the industrial engineer Church (1901; 907-908). Alternative derivations of a user cost formula may be found in Jorgenson (1963) (1989) (1996b), Griliches (1963; 120), Christensen and Jorgenson (1969; 302), Diewert (1974; 504) and Diewert and Lawrence (2000; 276).

¹³ If the asset is a land or structure asset, then the use of this input may also be subject to a property tax. If the period *t* property tax rate τ^t is a percentage of the beginning of the period value of the asset, then the user cost becomes $[r^t - i^t + (1 + i^t)\delta^t + \tau^t]P_K^{r-1}$.

services $P_L^t Q_L^t$ plus the value of capital services $U^t Q_K^{t-1}$ plus the value of pure profits Π^t :

$$GDI^t \equiv P_L^t Q_L^t + U^t Q_K^{t-1} + \Pi^t \tag{8}$$

To get the measure of production unit output that corresponds to the income measure defined by (8), replace Π^t in (8) by the right hand side of (5). Period *t* Gross Domestic Output, GDO^t, is then defined as follows:

$$GDO^{t} \equiv P_{Y}^{t}Q_{Y}^{t} - P_{Z}^{t}Q_{Z}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{I}^{t}$$

$$= CVA^{t} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{I}^{t}$$

$$= GDI^{t}$$
(9)

where period *t* Comprehensive Value Added of the production unit, CVA^t , is defined as Regular Value Added, $VA^t \equiv P_Y^t Q_Y^t - P_Z^t Q_Z^t$, less market expenditures on the investment good, $P_{IP}^t Q_{IP}^{t}$.¹⁴ Thus period *t* CVA^t is defined as:

$$CVA^{t} \equiv P_{Y}^{t}Q_{Y}^{t} - P_{Z}^{t}Q_{Z}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}$$

$$= VA^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}$$
 (10)

311 Suppose the following conditions hold:

310

331

$$P_K^t = P_{IP}^t; \ Q_I^t = Q_{IP}^t \tag{11}$$

Then it can be seen that our measure of gross output, GDO^{t} , is equal to Regular Value added, VA^{t} .

The problem with the gross income measure, GDI^{t} 316 defined by (8) is that it includes the value of depreciation as 317 a component of income. But depreciation is not a compo-318 nent of income that can be spent on the purchase of con-319 sumer goods and services. Thus the depreciation component 320 of user cost should be removed as a source of income and 321 transferred to the net output accounts; i.e., depreciation 322 should be treated as deduction from production unit rev-323 enues and be treated as a type of intertemporal intermediate 324 input.¹⁵ The period t value of depreciation (valued at end of 325 capital period prices of the 326 stock) is $P_K^t \delta^t Q_K^{t-1} = (1+i^t) \delta^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$. Subtract this term from 327 328 period t Gross Domestic Income to define the period t Net Domestic Income, NDI^t, generated by the production unit: 329

$$NDI' \equiv GDI' - (1+i')\delta' P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$$

= $P_L' Q_L' + [r'-i'] P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1} + \Pi' \text{ using } (8) \text{ and } (6)$ (12)

In order to obtain the output measure NDO^t that matches 332 up with the net income measure NDI^t defined by (12), 333 substitute the right hand side of (5) to eliminate Π^t from the 334 second line in (12). We obtain the following expression for 335 the *Net Domestic Output NDO^t* produced by the production 336 unit during period *t*: 337

$$NDO' \equiv P_{Y}'Q_{Y}' - P_{Z}'Q_{Z}' - P_{IP}'Q_{IP}' + P_{K}'[Q_{I}' - \delta'Q_{K}^{t-1}]$$

= $CVA' + P_{K}'[Q_{I}' - \delta'Q_{K}^{t-1}]$ using definition (10)
= $CVA' + P_{K}'[Q_{K}' - Q_{K}^{t-1}]$ using (3)
= NDI' (13)

339

The second line of (13) tells us that period *t* Net 340 Domestic Output is equal to the production unit's Comprehensive Value Added, CVA^t , plus the production unit's 342 period *t* gross investment, Q_I^t , less period *t* depreciation of 343 the starting capital stock, $\delta^t Q_K^{t-1}$, valued at the end of period 344 capital stock price, P_K^t . Note that $Q_I^t - \delta^t Q_K^{t-1} = Q_K^t - Q_K^{t-1}$ 345 is period *t* net investment. 346

The measure of net output defined by (13) looks rea-347 sonable enough. It adds the value of net investment 348 (valued at the end of period price for units of the capital 349 stock) to a comprehensive measure of value added pro-350 duced by the production unit during period t. Thus this net 351 output measure is consistent with Pigou's (1941; 352 273-274) preference for an output measure that is con-353 sistent with maintaining the physical capital stock. How-354 ever, the problem with the net output measures of output 355 and income, NDO^{t} and NDI^{t} , is the fact that the income 356 measure does not accurately measure the nominal income 357 generated by the production unit over the period; NDI^{t} 358 omits the capital gains (or losses) that accrue to the initial 359 capital stock held by the production unit. Adding these 360 capital gains to NDI^t leads to period t Comprehensive Net 361 Domestic Income generated by the producer over period t, 362 *CNDI*^t, defined as follows: 363

$$CNDI^{t} \equiv P_{L}^{t}Q_{L}^{t} + r^{t}P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1} + \Pi^{t}$$

= $NDI^{t} + i^{t}P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$ using the second line in (12) (14)

The first line in (14) tells us comprehensive net income is equal to payments to labour $P_L^t Q_L^t$ plus interest and dividend payments to the owners of the production unit for tying up their capital for the period, $r^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$, plus any pure profits Π^t that might have occurred.¹⁶ The second line in (14) tells

¹⁴ The production unit could be producing units of the capital stock and this production would be included in the definition of a firm's regular value added. However, purchases of units of the capital stock are not included in regular value added because the cost of purchased investment goods is capitalised and depreciated over time using normal accounting procedures. Comprehensive Value Added allows revenues from sales of the investment good and costs from purchases of the investment good to enter the net output aggregate.

¹⁵ See Hicks (1946; 174) (1973; 155), Samuelson (1961) and Balk (2010) (2011) on alternative definitions of income and on the treatment of depreciation. See also Schreyer (2009; 43-51) and Stiglitz et al. (2009) on net income measures in the System of National Accounts.

¹⁶ Rymes (1968) (1983) defined $r^{t}P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$ as waiting services and advocated replacing the user cost of capital by waiting services. The term "waiting" can be traced back to Marshall (1920; 232): "And human nature being what it is, we are justified in speaking of the interest on capital as the reward of the sacrifice involved in the waiting for the enjoyment of material resources, because few people would save much without reward; just as we speak of wages as the reward of labour, because few people would work hard without reward".

us that $CNDI^{t}$ is equal to NDI^{t} plus capital gains on the 371 production unit's initial capital stock. 372

In order to determine the net output measure that matches 373 up with the comprehensive measure of income defined by 374 the first line in (14), we use the right hand side of (5) to 375 eliminate Π^t from the right hand side of (14). We obtain the 376 following expression for period t Comprehensive Net 377 *Domestic Output. CNDO^t* for the production unit: 378

$$\begin{array}{ll} CNDO' & \equiv P_Y'Q_Y' - P_Z'Q_Z' - P_{IP}'Q_{IP}' + P_K'\left[Q_I' - \delta'Q_K^{-1}\right] + i'P_K^{-1}Q_K^{-1} \\ & = CVA' + P_K'\left[Q_I' - \delta'Q_K^{-1}\right] + i'P_K^{-1}Q_K^{-1} & using(10) \\ & = CVA' + P_K'\left[Q_K' - Q_K^{-1}\right] + i'P_K^{-1}Q_K^{-1} & using(3) \\ & = CVA' + P_K'Q_K' - (1 + i')P_K^{-1}Q_K^{-1} + i'P_K^{-1}Q_K^{-1} & using(4) \\ & = CVA' + P_K'Q_K' - P_K^{-1}Q_K^{-1} \\ & = NDO' + i'P_K^{-1}Q_K^{-1} \end{array}$$

$$(15)$$

380 381

The second last line in (15) tells us that our comprehensive measure of net domestic product for the production 382 383 unit $CNDO^{t}$ is equal to comprehensive value added, CVA^{t} , plus the value of the end of period capital stock, $P_{K}^{t}Q_{K}^{t}$, less 384 the value of the beginning of the period capital stock, 385 $P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$. This is a very straightforward definition of net 386 (nominal) output. On the other hand, the net domestic 387 measure of output, NDO^t , is equal to CVA^t plus the net 388 change in the capital stock evaluated at end of period prices. 389 $P_{K}^{t}[Q_{K}^{t} - Q_{K}^{t-1}]$. The last line in (15) shows that $CNDO^{t}$ is 390 equal to NDO^t plus asset appreciation $i^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$ if the asset 391 inflation rate i^t is positive. If i^t is negative due to obsoles-392 cence or other reasons, then Comprehensive Net Domestic 393 Output will be less than Net Domestic Output. Thus the 394 comprehensive net income measure is a maintenance of 395 financial capital approach to the measurement of income 396 whereas the net income measure is a maintenance of real 397 physical capital approach. 398

Having estimates of the nominal income generated by a 399 production unit is not the end of the story. In order to 400 evaluate the contributions of a production sector to the 401 creation of income, it is useful to convert the nominal 402 income measure into a real income measure. That is, the 403 nominal measure of income can be divided by a consumer 404 405 price index to convert nominal income flows into real income flows. We note that our suggested comprehensive 406 measure of real income generated by a production unit 407 408 (which is $CNDI^{t}$ deflated by a consumer price index) is exactly the income concept recommended by the accountant 409 Sterling: 410

411 "It follows that the appropriate procedure is to (1) adjust the present statement to current values and (2) adjust the 412 previous statement by a price index. It is important to 413 recognise that both adjustments are necessary and that 414 neither is a substitute for the other. Confusion on this point 415 is widespread." Robert R. Sterling (1975; 51). 416

Sterling (1975; 50) termed his income concept Price Level 417 Adjusted Current Value Income. Unfortunately, Sterling's 418 income concept has not been widely endorsed in accounting 419 circles due to difficulties in implementing it in an unambig-420 uous manner. But conceptually, Sterling's income concept is 421 consistent with our Comprehensive Net Domestic Product 422 income concept that is deflated by a consumer price index. 423

Which income concept is "best"? The gross income 424 concept clearly overstates sustainable consumption and so 425 this concept can be dismissed. However, choosing between 426 the physical and real financial maintenance perspectives is 427 more problematical: reasonable economists could differ on 428 this choice. The merits of the two perspectives were 429 debated by Pigou and Hayek over 80 years ago. Pigou 430 (1941; 273-274) favoured the maintenance of physical 431 capital approach while Hayek (1941; 276-277) favoured 432 the maintenance of real financial capital approach (the 433 approach of Sterling). Havek noted that obsolescence of a 434 capital good¹⁷ leads to a loss of income which is not cap-435 tured in the maintenance of physical capital approach to 436 income measurement but it is captured in the maintenance 437 of financial capital approach. Moreover, the approach of 438 Pigou does not capture the gains in income that are gen-439 erated by increasing land prices. The amount of land could 440 remain constant but increases in the price of business land 441 that are greater than the change in the consumer price index 442 should lead to an increase in the real income generated by a 443 production unit but the physical approach neglects these 444 real income gains. If a price increase in an asset is foreseen, 445 then the revaluation term can be regarded as a positive 446 contribution to the net revenues produced by the produc-447 tion unit under consideration; i.e., the unit "transports" the 448 asset from a time when it is less valued to a time when it is 449 more highly valued. 450

As Hicks (1946; 184) said in his income chapter: "What a tricky business this all is!"

451

452

Table 1 shows the relationship of the three alternative 453 definitions of output and relationship of the three matching 454 definitions of income or primary input, where $CVA^t \equiv$ 455 $P_Y^t Q_Y^t - P_Z^t Q_Z^t - P_{IP}^t Q_{IP}^t = VA^t - P_{IP}^t Q_{IP}^t$ is Comprehensive Value Added from (10), and $[r^t - i^t + (1 + i^t)\delta^t]P_K^{t-1} = U^t$ is the user cost of capital from (6):¹⁸ 456 457 458

¹⁷ This is the case where i^t is negative.

¹⁸ Balk (2010; S239-S247) introduced many more rows to Table 1 by decomposing user cost into four separate components and then shifting these components from the input column to the output column. We note that his decomposition of user cost into separate components is slightly different from our decomposition. Balk correctly includes property taxes in user cost so this adds the term $\tau P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$ to the income column in Table 1. Thus we regard property taxes paid by the Production Unit as a contribution to all of the income concepts defined in Table 1. Our r^{t} is a gross rate of return that includes income taxes paid by the Production Unit so income taxes also contribute to all forms of income defined in Table 1.

Table 1 Alternative Output and
Corresponding Income ConceptsOutput ConceptsIncome Concepts $GDO^t = CVA^t + P_K^t Q_I^t$ $GDI^t = P_L^t Q_L^t + [r^t - i^t + (1 + i^t)\delta^t] P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1} + \Pi^t$ $NDO^t = GDO^t - (1 + i^t)\delta^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$ $NDI^t = P_L^t Q_L^t + [r^t - i^t] P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1} + \Pi^t$ $CNDO^t = NDO^t + i^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$ $CNDI^t = P_L^t Q_L^t + r^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1} + \Pi^t$

Following Balk (2010), one can define (one plus) 459 Productivity Growth (or Total Factor Productivity 460 Growth) of the Production Unit in time period t relative 461 to a base period 0, $Prod^{t}$, as the Fisher (1922) quantity 462 index of (net) outputs relating period t to period 0 463 divided by the corresponding Fisher quantity index of 464 inputs.¹⁹ For each row in Table 1, there is a different 465 productivity measure. For the Gross Output concept, the 466 period t output prices are P_Y^t , P_Z^t , P_{IP}^t and P_K^t and the 467 corresponding period t quantities are Q_Y^t , Q_Z^t , $-Q_{IP}^t$ and 468 Q_{l}^{t} . The corresponding period t input prices are P_{L}^{t} and 469 $U^{t} = [r^{t} - i^{t} + (1 + i^{t})\delta^{t}]P_{K}^{t-1}$ and the period t input quantities are Q_{L}^{t} and Q_{K}^{t-1} . For the Comprehensive Net 470 471 Income concept, the period t output prices are P_Y^t , P_Z^t , 472 P_{IP}^{t} , P_{K}^{t} and $[i^{t} - (1 + i^{t})\delta^{t}]P_{K}^{t-1}$ and the corresponding 473 period t quantities are Q_Y^t , Q_Z^t , $-Q_{IP}^t$, Q_K^t and Q_K^{t-1} . The period t input prices are P_L^t and $r^t P_K^{t-1}$ and the corre-sponding period t input quantities are Q_L^t and Q_K^{t-1} . Note 474 475 476 that pure profits Π^t do not appear in either the output or 477 input index numbers in this Balkian framework. 478

Choose a row in Table 1 and denote the period t output 479 price and quantity vectors by p^t and y^t . Denote the period t 480 input price and quantity vectors by w^t and x^t . Denote the 481 Fisher output and input price and quantity indexes for 482 period t relative to period 0 by $P_F(p^0, p^t, y^0, y^1) = [p^t \cdot y^0 p^t \cdot y^0]$ 483 $y^t/p^0 \cdot y^0 p^0 y^t]^{1/2}$ and $Q_F(p^0, p^t, y^0, y^t) = [p^0 \cdot y^t p^t \cdot y^t/p^0 \cdot y^0 p^t \cdot y^0]^{1/2}$ (for outputs) and $P_F(w^0, w^t, x^0, x^t) = [w^t \cdot y^t/p^0]^{1/2}$ 484 485 $x^0 w^t \cdot x^t / w^0 \cdot x^0 w^0 x^t]^{1/2}$ and $Q_F(w^0, w^t, x^0, x^t) = [w^0 \cdot x^t w^t]^{1/2}$ 486 $\cdot x^t/w^0 \cdot x^0 w^t \cdot x^0$ (for inputs). Thus $Prod^t = Q_F(p^0, p^t, p^t)$ 487 $y^0, y^t)/Q_F(w^0, w^1, x^0, x^1)$ and Balk's (2010: S233) growth 488 accounting decomposition into explanatory factors for the 489 output/income concept defined by $p^t \cdot y^t$ is the following 490 491 identity:

$$\frac{p^t \cdot y^t}{p^0 \cdot y^0} = Prod^t \times \frac{Q_F(w^0, w^t, x^0, x^t)}{P_F(p^0, p^t, y^0, y^t)}$$
(16)

493

Thus (one plus) nominal output/income growth is equal 494 to Productivity growth times (one plus) input quantity 495 growth divided by (one plus) output price growth.²⁰ 496

4 Discussion of alternative approaches to firm accounting 497

We will attempt to clarify some of our definitions and provide 499 additional discussion about our accounting framework.²¹ 500

4.1 Observed prices and quantities versus imputed 501 prices and quantities 502

It is useful to distinguish a production unit's actual 503 (observable) period t revenues and costs from imputed costs 504 and revenues. Period t prices and quantities that are in 505 principle observable are revenues $P_Y^t Q_Y^t$, intermediate input 506 costs $P_{7}^{t}Q_{7}^{t}$, purchased investments $P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}$ and labour costs 507 $P_{I}^{t} Q_{I}^{t}$.²² Imputed variables in our accounting framework are 508 the prices and quantities of the capital stock at the beginning 509 and end of the period, P_K^{t-1} , P_K^t , Q_K^{t-1} and Q_K^t , the price and 510 quantity of own account investment, P_{II}^{t} and Q_{II}^{t} , the period 511 t cost of financial capital (the reference interest rate) r+ and 512 the period t rate of geometric depreciation δ^t . Accounting 513 theorists and practitioners have long stressed the importance 514 of using actual data²³ and the difficulties associated with the 515

¹⁹ The idea of defining TFP growth as an output index divided by an input index goes back to Jorgenson and Griliches (1967). Balk probably chose the Fisher index as his functional form for price and quantity indexes because of its superior axiomatic properties; see Diewert (1992). Balk's accounting approach to productivity measurement draws on Diewert (1990) and Diewert and Nakamura (2003) but is more general since Balk allows profits to be nonzero.

 $^{^{20}}$ Using the Törnqvist index number formula in place of the Fisher formula, Kohli (1990) was able to obtain a growth accounting decomposition that was more detailed, i.e., the output price index and the input quantity index were decomposed into individual price and quantity explanatory factors; see also Diewert and Morrison (1986). These authors assumed that profits were equal to zero.

²¹ This section was added in response to the comments of the referees on an earlier draught.

²² As was indicated in Section 2, the quantities are total amounts purchased or sold during period *t* and the corresponding prices are unit value prices. The use of unit value prices to aggregate over transactions made during the accounting period was recommended by early index number theorists; see Walsh (1901; 96) and Fisher (1922; 318). If units of the capital stock are sold during the accounting period, then Q_{IP}^{t} becomes net asset purchases and could become negative if asset sales are bigger than asset purchases.

²³ Accounting theorists have stressed the importance of using transactions data which are objective, reliable and reproducible; see Daines (1929; 99-101) and Ijiri (1979) on objectivity, Canning (1929; 321) on reliability and Davidson et al. (1976; 225) on reproducibility.

use of imputed data.²⁴ However, in order to evaluate firm 516 performance over a given (short) time period, it is necessary 517 to value capital stocks at the beginning and end of the 518 accounting period. This valuation exercise involves a model 519 of depreciation of the capital stocks and a model for pricing 520 depreciated capital stocks. Thus imperfect imputations are 521 required in order to evaluate firm performance over the 522 accounting period. These valuation problems are caused by 523 the durability of capital inputs in the production process.²⁵ 524 In the following paragraph, we will define various aggre-525 gates that are based on observable data. 526

The four categories of observable revenues and costs can be combined in various ways in order to define the following *observable aggregates*:

$$VA^{t} \equiv P_{Y}^{t}Q_{Y}^{t} - P_{Z}^{t}Q_{Z}^{t}:$$
 Value Added;

$$CVA^{t} \equiv VA^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}:$$
 Comprehensive Value Added;

$$CF^{t} \equiv P_{Y}^{t}Q_{Y}^{t} - P_{Z}^{t}Q_{Z}^{t} - P_{L}^{t}Q_{L}^{t}:$$
 Cash Flow;

$$CCF^{t} \equiv CF^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}:$$
 Comprehensive Cash Flow
(17)

The above definitions for period t Value Added and Cash 532 533 Flow are reasonably well established in the economics and accounting literature.²⁶ Our definitions for CVA^t and CCF^t 534 simply subtract market expenditures on the investment 535 good,²⁷ $P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}$, from VA^t and CF^t, respectively. Using the 536 above definition of Value Added, definition (1) for period t 537 *pure profits* Π^t of the production unit can be written as 538 follows: 539

$$\Pi^{t} = VA^{t} - P_{L}^{t}Q_{L}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{K}^{t} - (1+r^{t})P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$$
(18)

541

4.2 Can neo-austrian profit be written as a flow? 542

A referee pointed out that our definition (1) of pure profit 543 involved a mixture of stock and flow variables and one can 544 ask whether pure profits can be rewritten purely in terms of 545 flow variables. Using the geometric model of depreciation, 546 we showed that pure profits Π^t defined by (1) are equal to 547 the expression on the right hand side of (5). Using definition 548 (17) of period t cash flow CF^t , (5) can be rewritten as fol-549 lows: 550

$$\Pi^{t} = CF^{t} - U^{t}Q_{K}^{t-1} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{I}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}$$
(19)

The user cost price of the beginning of the period capital 553 stock, U^t , was defined by (6). $U^t Q_K^{t-1}$ is conceptually equal 554 to the cost of renting the initial capital stock and hence is a 555 flow variable. If we use (6) to decompose the user cost into 556 its components, then we have the following decomposition: 557

$$U^{t}Q_{K}^{t-1} = r^{t}P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1} - i^{t}P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1} + (1+i^{t})\delta^{t}P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$$

= interest cost - revaluation + depreciation.
(20)

Thus the various components of the cost of using the 560 initial capital stock can also be decomposed into flows. We also need to rewrite the final two terms on the right hand 562 side of (19) in terms of flows that make sense. Replace total period *t* investment Q_I^t by the sum of own account investment Q_{II}^t and purchased investment Q_{IP}^t . This leads to the 565 following equations: 566

$$P_{K}^{t}Q_{I}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t} = P_{K}^{t}(Q_{II}^{t} + Q_{IP}^{t}) - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}$$

$$= (P_{K}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t})Q_{IP}^{t} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{II}^{t}$$
(21)

The term $P_{K}^{t}Q_{II}^{t}$ is the imputed value of own account 569 investment valued at the end of period price for a unit of the 570 capital stock which is P_K^t . This term is a flow. The term 571 $(P_{K}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t})Q_{IP}^{t}$ is a revaluation term for purchased invest-572 ment and hence is also a flow variable. This term will 573 contribute to period t profits if the end of period price of an 574 investment good, P_K^t , is greater than the within the period 575 purchase price for the investment good, P_{IP}^{t} . The terms on 576 the right hand side of (21) are flows so it is possible to 577 interpret our measure of pure profits in terms of period 578 t flows. 579

There is no explicit revaluation term for own account 580 investment because there is no explicit purchase price for 581 this type of investment. The cost of own account investment 582 is included in intermediate input, labour and capital services 583 that were used to produce Q_{II}^t . If these costs could be 584 separated from the overall costs $P_Z^t Q_Z^t$, $P_L^t Q_L^t$ and $U^t Q_K^{t-1}$, 585 then these separated costs could be cumulated and divided 586 by Q_{II}^t to give us an estimated (or imputed) price P_{II}^t . One 587

²⁴ See Daines (1929; 98) and Ijiri (1979; 66).

²⁵ "The main problem is that when a reproducible capital input is purchased for use by a production unit at the beginning of an accounting period, we cannot simply charge the entire purchase cost to the period of purchase. Since the benefits of using the capital asset extend over more than one period, the initial purchase cost must be distributed somehow over the useful life of the asset. This is the fundamental problem of accounting." W. Erwin Diewert (2005a; 480). ²⁶ In the accounting literature, our Cash Flow is roughly equivalent to Cash Flow from Operations. Our measure of Comprehensive Cash Flow includes (net) purchases of the investment good. Our comprehensive measure is not a truly comprehensive measure because it excludes transactions in financial markets that determine the production unit's cost of capital, r^{f} . For models that integrate financial transactions into the Neo-Austrian model, see Diewert (2014) and Diewert et al. (2016).

²⁷ If the production unit sells part of its beginning of the period capital stock during period *t*, then Q_{IP}^{t} is interpreted as net (market) purchases of the investment good and if period t asset sales are bigger than asset purchases, then Q_{IP}^{t} becomes negative.

could then use the new costs of intermediates, labour and capital services along with a new cost category, $P_{II}^{t}Q_{II}^{t}$, and the decomposition (21) would be replaced by the symmetric decomposition

592 $P_{K}^{t}Q_{I}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t} = (P_{K}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t})Q_{IP}^{t} + (P_{K}^{t} - P_{II}^{t})Q_{II}^{t}$.²⁸ 593 Note that that the flow decomposition defined by (21) 594 can be applied to our definition of Gross Domestic Output, 595 GDO^{t} , defined by (9). Using (9), (17) and (21), we have:

$$GDO^{t} = VA^{t} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{I}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t}Q_{IP}^{t}$$

= $VA^{t} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{II}^{t} + (P_{K}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t})Q_{IP}^{t}$ (22)

Thus Neo-Austrian Gross Domestic Output is equal to 598 traditional Value Added VA^t plus Own Account Investment 599 valued at the end of period investment price $P_K^t Q_{II}^t$ plus 600 Revaluation Gains purchased 601 on investment $(P_{K}^{t} - P_{IP}^{t})Q_{IP}^{t}$. Thus if there is no own account investment 602 and the end of period price of a unit of the capital stock is 603 P_{K}^{t} is set equal to the average period price of capital stock 604 purchases P_{IP}^{t} , then the last two terms on the right hand side 605 of (22) vanish and our GDO^t is equal to traditional value 606 607 added VA^t .

4.3 Should asset price change be added to net output?

Schreyer (2009; 50-51) has an extensive discussion on 610 alternative net income concepts and he updates the Pigou 611 (1941)-Hayek (1941) controversy on whether income con-612 cepts should hold constant the physical capital stock (the 613 Pigou position) or the real financial capital stock (the Hayek 614 position). In our accounting framework, this controversy 615 boils down to a choice between Net Domestic Output or 616 Comprehensive Net Domestic Output. 617

It has long been recognized that measures of Gross 618 Domestic Output overstate the value to society of produc-619 tion because depreciation of the beginning of the period 620 capital stock is not deducted from measures of gross out-621 put.²⁹ Thus from a theoretical point of view, deducting 622 depreciation from the measure of gross output has not been 623 624 controversial. However, adding capital gains (or losses) to a measure of net output has been resisted by national income 625 accountants. Schreyer explained why the current System of 626 627 National Accounts does not add the value of (net) capital gains on the initial capital stock (the term $i^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$ to the value of net output:

"The present Manual uses a notion of depreciation that does not encompass the changes in relative prices of assets. 631 There are several reasons for this. 632

- The first reason is that it keeps the supply side and 633 production perspective of the economy separate from 634 the demand and consumer side. A measure of deprecia-635 tion that captures the discounted value of capital used up 636 in production and the investment needed to keep the 637 productive capacity of the economy intact fits into a 638 supply-side perspective. A consumer or demand side 639 perspective can easily be added by considering wealth 640 effects arising with the ownership of productive assets 641 but it seems better to keep these effects separate rather 642 than lumping them together in the first place. 643
- The second reason is that present practice in OECD 644 countries' national accounts corresponds to a notion of 645 depreciation that excludes wealth effects. Also, if one 646 wanted to bring real wealth effects into measures of 647 depreciation, there is a question whether such effects should 648 be integrated asymmetrically (capturing only expected real 649 holding losses) or symmetrically (allowing also for real 650 holding gains). However, we reiterate that different 651 analytical questions may give rise to different treatment 652 of relative price changes for capital goods. In particular, for 653 the analysis of wealth effects and associated welfare 654 considerations, it is meaningful to account for real price 655 changes. Net income would then decline in the presence of 656 expected holding losses and rise in the presence of expected 657 holding gains." Schreyer (2009; 51). 658

There is a third reason to exclude holding gains from a 660 measure of net output: asset price inflation, i^{t} , can be very 661 large and positive (and negative) and thus the addition of 662 the term $i^{t}P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$ to the measure of net output can lead to 663 an income measure that is extremely volatile. Our suggested 664 solution to this volatility problem is to replace actual ex post 665 asset price inflation rates by smoothed asset inflation rates.³⁰ 666 Thus computing a nonvolatile measure of comprehensive 667 net output requires two major imputation models: a model 668 of depreciation and a model for smoothing asset prices. 669

It is unfortunate that a useful measure of comprehensive 670 net income generated by a production unit requires so many 671 imputations, but we believe it is important for statistical 672 offices to provide a measure of comprehensive net income 673 due to the increasing importance of land as a factor of 674

628 629

²⁸ If the investment good is being produced by the production unit, then sales of the good would appear as a revenue item. Thus own account production is interpreted as production of the investment good for use by the production unit for its own use in the following period. ²⁹ For example, see Samuelson (1961), Schreyer (2009; 43) and Balk (2010; S244) for discussions of this issue. Before the use of memory chips became widespread, measures of gross and net output tended to move in a proportional manner, so growth rates of gross and net domestic product were similar. However, Spant (2003) showed empirically that this similarity in growth rates no longer holds.

 $[\]frac{30}{30}$ This volatility problem shows up in the user cost of land which can easily become negative if ex post asset inflation rates are used as the *i*^{*t*}. The use of smoothed asset price inflation rates in the user cost formula will tend to eliminate negative user costs; see Diewert and Fox (2018).

production. In many countries, the value of land is comparable to the value of reproducible capital and land prices
have been increasing over past decades. Thus capital gains
on land holdings have become an important source of
income which is not being measured by many countries.
The above discussion can be summarised as follows:

Gross Domestic Output is a useful measure of output
 because it can be produced by National Statistical
 Offices without making a lot of imputations. Thus it can
 be regarded as a more reliable measure of output.

 Net Domestic Output is also a useful measure of output that better reflects sustainable output. It requires some imputations in order to determine depreciation and smoothed asset inflation rates.

Comprehensive Net Domestic Output is a useful measure 689 of the income generated by the production sector. In order 690 to avoid huge fluctuations in this measure, smoothed asset 691 692 inflation rates should be used. This measure of output requires three sets of imputations: one for determining 693 depreciation (and capital stocks), one for determining 694 beginning of the period asset prices and one for determining 695 smoothed asset inflation rates. 696

Our Neo-Austrian approach to the valuation of invest-698 ment is consistent with current value accounting theory 699 since our methodology follows exactly the approach of 700 Edwards and Bell (1961) who are respected accounting 701 theorists. Moreover, if we deflate our measure of Compre-702 Income, $CNDI^t = P_L^t Q_L^t +$ hensive Net Domestic 703 $r^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1} + \Pi^t$, by the country's Consumer Price Index for 704 the end of period t, P_{CPI}^{t} , then we obtain a measure of real 705 income generated by the Production Unit that was recom-706 mended by the accountant Sterling (1975; 50). Thus our 707 approach to firm accounting unifies national income 708 accounting theory with business firm accounting. 709

In the following subsection, we specialise the Neo Austrian approach to accounting to the problems associated
 with the treatment of land.³¹

713 **4.4 The treatment of land**

697

The algebra in Section 4.2 can be applied to a Production Unit that uses land services as an input. For simplicity, assume that land is the single asset used in production. Thus Q_k^{t-1} is the amount of land available to the Production Unit (PU) at the beginning of period *t* and its (imputed) price is P_k^{t-1} . The PU may purchase additional units of land during period *t* Q_{IP}^t at the price P_{IP}^t . It may be the case that the PU converts undeveloped land into higher quality land so own 721 account production of land, Q_{II}^{t} could be positive. For 722 simplicity, we will assume that there is no own account 723 investment in land development. Thus period t investment 724 in land Q_I^t is equal to Q_{II}^t and the corresponding investment 725 price P_I^t is equal to P_{IP}^t . Thus gross (and net) investment in 726 land during period t is equal to the difference between the 727 end of period and the beginning of period quantities of land: 728

$$Q_I^t = Q_K^t - Q_K^{t-1} (23)$$

Equation (23) is consistent with the geometric model of depreciation if we set the period *t* depreciation rate δ^t equal to zero. If $Q_I^t > 0$, then P_I^t is the purchase price for newly acquired land; if $Q_I^t < 0$, then P_I^t is the observed selling price for sold land. With these assumptions, pure profits for the PU are defined as follows: 736

$$\prod^{t} = CF^{t} + P_{I}^{t}Q_{I}^{t} + P_{K}^{t}Q_{K}^{t} - (1 + r^{t})P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$$

$$= CF^{t} + P_{I}^{t}(Q_{K}^{t} - Q_{K}^{t-1}) + P_{K}^{t}Q_{K}^{t} - (1 + r^{t})P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$$

$$= CF^{t} + (P_{K}^{t} - P_{I}^{t})Q_{I}^{t} - U^{t}Q_{K}^{t-1}$$

$$(24)$$

where the *user cost of capital* is defined as $U^t = (r^t - i^t)P_K^{t-1}$ 739 when the depreciation rate $\delta^t = 0$. Thus pure profits are equal to cash flow less the user cost of land plus the term $(P_K^t - P_I^t)Q_I^t$ 741 which is equal to the end of period capital gains or losses on the (net) purchases of land made during the accounting period. 743 Typically, this capital gains term will be small. 744

Since the depreciation rate for land is zero, our measures 745 of gross and net domestic output, GDO^t and NDO^t , will be equal. Thus for land investments, Table 1 in Section 3 the period to the period to

A number of points of interest emerge from a study of Table 2:

749

- The asset inflation rate for land, i^t , can exceed the 751 reference cost of capital, r^t , and so the user cost of 752 capital in this case, $[r^t i^t]P_K^{t-1}$, becomes a *user benefit*. 753
- Our GDO concept differs from national accounts GDP by 754 adding the asset revaluation term $(P_K^t - P_I^t)Q_I^t$. As was 755 mentioned above, for an individual production unit, this 756 revaluation term will usually be small for an individual firm 757 or sector. However, when we aggregate over production 758 units in the national economy, the $P_I^t Q_I^t$ terms will sum to 759 zero, so effectively, we are adding the term $P_{K}^{t}Q_{I}^{t}$ term to 760 value added to obtain our Neo-Austrian measure of gross 761 output. In many economies, agricultural land (which has a 762 low price) is converted into commercial, industrial and 763 residential land (which tends to have a much higher price). 764 Thus in aggregate, adding the terms $P_K^t Q_I^t$ for the different 765 types of land to value added will tend to give a significant 766 boost to our measure of gross output. 767

 $^{^{31}}$ For a specialisation of the Neo-Austrian approach to the treatment of inventory change, see Diewert (2005b), and for a specialisation to the resource depletion context, see Diewert and Fox (2016).

775

Table 2 Gross Output and Comprehensive Net Output for Land Investments	Output Concepts	Income Concepts
	$GDO^{t} = VA^{t} + (P_{K}^{t} - P_{I}^{t})Q_{I}^{t}$ $CNDO^{t} = GDO^{t} + i^{t}P_{K}^{t-1}Q_{K}^{t-1}$	$ \begin{aligned} GDI^t &= P_L^t Q_L^t + [r^t - i^t] P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1} + \Pi^t \\ CNDI^t &= P_L^t Q_L^t + r^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1} + \Pi^t \end{aligned} $

• Our measure of Comprehensive Net Output adds the capital gains (or losses if i^t is negative) on the value of land over the accounting period, $i^t P_K^{t-1} Q_K^{t-1}$, to gross output. This term can be very large. Thus it is important to include land in the list of productive assets when constructing a measure of income generated by the production sector of an economy.

It should be noted that our preferred measure of the 776 income generated by a production unit is only loosely 777 related to the aggregate income of the residents of a country; 778 i.e., some fraction of the domestic capital stock will be 779 780 owned by nonresidents and thus some of the returns generated by the production unit will flow to nonresident 781 owners. There are many additional measurement problems 782 that we have not addressed in this paper.³² 783

5 Economy wide measures of output, input and income

In this section, we extend the analysis to many types of capital and we also aggregate over production units. Suppose there are *F* production units in the economy, *N* types of capital, *J* classes of outputs (including outputs of capital goods) and *M* classes of intermediate inputs (excluding capital good purchases).³³ The counterparts to definitions (1)–(6) will be explained below.

⁷⁹² Define the *period t pure profits of production unit f*, \prod_{f}^{t} , ⁷⁹³ as follows, for f = 1, ..., F:

$$\Pi_{f}^{t} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{J} P_{Yfj}^{t} Q_{Yfj}^{t} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} P_{Zfm}^{t} Q_{Zfm}^{t} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{IPfn}^{t} Q_{IPfn}^{t}$$
$$-P_{Lf}^{t} Q_{Lf}^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{Kfn}^{t} Q_{Kfn}^{t} - (1+r^{t}) \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{Kfn}^{t-1} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1}$$
$$= VA_{f}^{t} - P_{Lf}^{t} Q_{Lf}^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{IPfn}^{t} Q_{IPfn}^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{Kfn}^{t} Q_{Kfn}^{t}$$
$$-(1+r^{t}) \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{Kfn}^{t-1} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1}$$
(25)

The *Value Added* for production unit f, VA_f^t , is defined as 796 follows, for f = 1, ..., F: 797

$$VA_{f}^{t} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{J} P_{Yfj}^{t} Q_{Yfj}^{t} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} P_{Zfm}^{t} Q_{Zfm}^{t}$$
(26)

The various price and quantity variables appearing on the right hand side of definitions (25) and (26) are defined as follows: 802

 $P_{Y_{fj}}^{t} \equiv$ (unit value) price of output *j* sold by production 803 unit *f* during period *t*; 804

 $Q_{Y_{fj}}^{t} \equiv \text{total quantity of output } j \text{ produced by unit } f \text{ during}$ 805 period t; 806

 $P_{Zfm}^{t} \equiv$ (unit value) price of intermediate input *m* purchased by unit *f* during period *t*;

 $Q_{Zfm}^{t} \equiv$ total quantity purchased of intermediate input m purchased by unit *f* during period *t*;

 $P_{IPfn}^{t} \equiv$ (unit value) price of one unit of investment good *n purchased* by unit *f* during period *t*;

 $Q_{IPfn}^{t} \equiv$ total number of units of the investment good *n* purchased by unit *f* during period *t*;

 $P_{Lf}^{t} \equiv$ wage rate for one hour of labour used by unit f 815 during period t, 816

 $Q_{If}^t \equiv \text{total hours worked for unit } f \text{ in period } t;$

 $P_{Kfn}^t \equiv$ price of a unit of capital stock *n* held by unit *f* at the end of period *t*;

 $Q_{Kfn}^t \equiv$ quantity of capital stock *n* held by unit *f* at the end of period *t*;

 $P_{Kfn}^{t-1} \equiv$ price of a unit of the capital stock *n* held by unit *f* at the beginning of period *t*;

 $Q_{Kfn}^{t-1} \equiv$ quantity of capital stock *n* held by unit *f* at the beginning of period *t*;

 $r^t \equiv$ period t cost of capital for all production units.

The assumption that the cost of capital r^{t} is constant across all production units is only a very rough approximation to reality. We make this assumption because at a later stage of our analysis, we adapt our algebra to the problem of determining an economy wide *ex post* rate return on capital.

We have defined P_{IPfn}^{t} and Q_{IPfn}^{t} as the period t price and 832 quantity of purchases of investment good n by production 833 unit f. However, each production unit may also produce 834 units of the investment good internally for its own use. Thus 835 define $Q_{IIfn}^t \ge 0$ as the amount of internally produced 836 investment good n by unit f and P_{IIfn}^{t} as the corresponding 837 imputed price for a unit of this internally produced invest-838 ment. Define period t total investment in the n^{th} capital stock 839 by production unit f as the sum of purchased investment, 840

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

³² The reader is directed to the work of Stiglitz et al. (2009), Schreyer (2009) and Balk (2010) (2011) for extended discussions of the many important measurement problems associated with measuring gross and net output for the production accounts.

³³ To keep our notation as simple as possible, we have only one type of labour in the economy. The algebra can readily be generalised to many types of labour.

 Q_{IPfn}^{t} , plus internally produced investment, Q_{IIfn}^{t} : 841

$$Q_{Ifn}^{t} \equiv Q_{IPfn}^{t} + Q_{IIfn}^{t}; \ f = 1, \dots, F; n = 1, \dots, N$$
 (27)

<u>8</u>44 As in the previous section, we assume that geometric depreciation applies to each capital stock. Thus we assume 845 that the following relationships between beginning and end 846 of period capital stocks and total investment hold: 847

$$Q_{Kfn}^{t} = (1 - \delta_{n}^{t})Q_{Kfn}^{t-1} + Q_{Ifn}^{t}; \ f = 1, \dots, F; n = 1, \dots, N$$
(28)

<u> 8</u>50 Note that the period t geometric depreciation rate for the *n*th type of capital, δ_n^{tt} , depends on t and n but not on f. 851 Using these assumptions, it can be shown that we can obtain 852 the following expression for the pure profits of Production 853 Unit f for f = 1, ..., F:³⁴ 854

$$\Pi_{f}^{t} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} P_{Yfj}^{t} Q_{Yfj}^{t} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} P_{Zfm}^{t} Q_{Zfm}^{t} - P_{Lf}^{t} Q_{Lf}^{t} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} U_{fn}^{t} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{Kfn}^{t} Q_{Ifn}^{t} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{IPfn}^{t} Q_{IPfn}^{t}$$

$$(29)$$

856 857 where the user cost of capital stock component n for unit f is defined as $U_{fn}^t \equiv \left[r^t - i_{fn}^t + \left(1 + i_{fn}^t\right)\delta_n^t\right]P_{Kfn}^t$ and the capital stock asset inflation rates i_{fn}^t are defined by 858 859 $(1 + i_{fn}^t) \equiv P_{Kfn}^t / P_{Kfn}^{t-1}$ for f = 1, ..., F and n = 1, ..., N. In what follows, we make the simplifying assumption that for 860 861 each asset *n*, the inflation rate for each production unit is 862 constant, i.e., we assume that for f = 1, ..., F and 863 n = 1, ..., N. 864

For each class of the six quantity variables on the right hand 865 side of (29), define the corresponding national aggregate by 866 summing over production units. Thus $Q_{Yi}^t \equiv \sum_{f=1}^F Q_{Yfi}^t$ for 867 $j = 1, ..., J; \ Q_{Zm}^{t} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} Q_{Zfm}^{t} \text{ for } m = 1, ..., M; \ Q_{L}^{t} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} Q_{Lf}^{t}; \ Q_{Kn}^{t-1} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1} \text{ for } n = 1, ..., N; \ Q_{In}^{t} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} Q_{Ifn}^{t}$ 868 869 for n = 1, ..., N and $Q_{IPn}^t \equiv \sum_{f=1}^F Q_{IPfn}^t$ for n = 1, ..., N. Define the corresponding *national unit value prices* as 870

871 follows: $P_{Yj}^t \equiv \left[\sum_{f=1}^F P_{Yfj}^t Q_{Yfj}^t\right] / Q_{Yj}^t$ for j = 1, ..., J; $P_{Zm}^t \equiv$ 872 $\left[\sum_{f=1}^{F} P_{Zfm}^{t} Q_{Zfm}^{t}\right] / Q_{Zm}^{t} \text{ for } m = 1, \dots, M; P_{L}^{t} \equiv \left[\sum_{f=1}^{F} P_{Lf}\right]$ 873 ${}^{L} U_{Lf}^{t}]/Q_{L}^{t}; \ U_{n}^{t} \equiv \left[\sum_{f=1}^{F} U_{fn}^{t} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1}\right]/Q_{Kn}^{t-1} \text{ for } n=1, \ \dots, \ N;$ 874 $P_{In}^{t} \equiv \left[\sum_{f=1}^{F} P_{Kfn}^{t} Q_{Ifn}^{t}\right] / Q_{In}^{t} \text{ for } n = 1, ..., N;^{35} \text{ and } P_{IPn}^{t} \equiv$ 875 $\left[\sum_{f=1}^{F} P_{IPfn}^{t} Q_{IPfn}^{t}\right] / Q_{IPn}^{t} \text{ for } n = 1, \dots, N.$ 876

³⁴ Eq. (29) are production unit counterparts to Eq. (19) above. ³⁵ Note that the unit value price for total period t investment in asset *n*, P_{ln}^t is equal to $\sum_{\ell=1}^{1} P_{Kfn}^t Q_{lfn}^t$ divided by total investment in asset *n*, $Q_{In}^{t} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} Q_{Ifn}^{t}$. Using the Hicks, Edwards and Bell accounting framework leads to total investment being valued at end of period prices for the various assets.

Finally, define *national value added*, VA^{t} , by summing 877 value added over the production units: 878

$$VA^{t} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{J} P_{Yfj}^{t} Q_{Yfj}^{t} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} P_{Zfm}^{t} Q_{Zfm}^{t} \right]$$
(30)

National pure profits, Π^t , are obtained by summing the 881 production unit profits Π_f^t defined by (29). Using the above 882 definitions, we obtain the following decomposition of national 883 pure profits into national explanatory aggregates: 884

$$\Pi^{t} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} \Pi_{f}^{t}$$

= $VA^{t} - P_{L}^{t} Q_{L}^{t} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} U_{n}^{t} Q_{Kn}^{t-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{ln}^{t} Q_{ln}^{t} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{lPn}^{t} Q_{lPn}^{t}$
(31)

The definition and decomposition of profits given by (31) 887 is the macroeconomic counterpart to the microeconomic 888 decomposition of profits given by (19). Using (31), period t 889 Neo-Austrian National Gross Domestic Output is defined 890 by (32) and the companion Gross Domestic Income is 891 defined by (33): 892

$$GDO^{t} \equiv VA^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{ln}^{t} Q_{ln}^{t} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{lPn}^{t} Q_{lPn}^{t}; \qquad (32)$$

$$GDI^{t} \equiv P_{L}^{t} Q_{L}^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} U_{n}^{t} Q_{Kn}^{t-1} + \Pi^{t}$$
(33)

896 In order to define Neo-Austrian National Net 897 Domestic Output, we need to decompose user costs into 898 various components. Define the national beginning of 899 period t stock of asset n as $Q_{Kn}^{t-1} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1}$ for 900 n = 1, ..., N. Define the corresponding unit value prices 901 as $P_{Kn}^{t-1} \equiv \sum_{f=1}^{F} P_{Kfn}^{t-1} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1} / Q_{Kn}^{t}$ for n = 1, ..., N. Under our assumptions, we can rewrite $\sum_{n=1}^{N} U_n^t Q_{Kn}^{t-1}$ as follows: 902 903

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} U_{n}^{t} Q_{Kn}^{t-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{f=1}^{F} U_{fn}^{t} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{f=1}^{F} \left[r^{t} - i_{n}^{t} + (1+i_{n}^{t}) \delta_{n}^{t} \right] P_{Kfn}^{t-1} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[r^{t} - i_{n}^{t} + (1+i_{n}^{t}) \delta_{n}^{t} \right] \sum_{f=1}^{F} P_{Kfn}^{t-1} Q_{Kfn}^{t-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[r^{t} - i_{n}^{t} + (1+i_{n}^{t}) \delta_{n}^{t} \right] P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[r^{t} - i_{n}^{t} + (1+i_{n}^{t}) \delta_{n}^{t} \right] P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[r^{t} - i_{n}^{t} \right] P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (1+i_{n}^{t}) \delta_{n}^{t} P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1}$$

905 To obtain National Net Domestic Output, NDO^t, use 906 (34) and simply shift the depreciation terms, 907 $\sum_{n=1}^{N} (1+i_n^t) \delta_n^t P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1}$, from the input side of the 908 accounts to the output side. Thus we have the following 909 definitions for NDO^t and the companion input or income 910

911 concept *NDI*^t:

$$NDO^{t} \equiv GDO^{t} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} (1 + i_{n}^{t}) \delta_{n}^{t} P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1}; \qquad (35)$$

913

$$NDI^{t} \equiv P_{L}^{t} Q_{L}^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[r^{t} - i_{n}^{t} \right] P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1} + \Pi^{t}$$
(36)

Finally, to obtain *Comprehensive Net Domestic Output*, *CNDO^t*, shift (minus) capital gains on the value of the initial national capital stock, $-\sum_{n=1}^{N} i_n^t P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1}$, from the input side of the accounts to the output side. Thus we have the following definitions for *CNDO^t* and the companion input or income concept *CNDI^t*:

$$CNDO^{t} \equiv NDO^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} i_{n}^{t} P_{Kn}^{t-1} Q_{Kn}^{t-1};$$
 (37)

923

$$CNDI^{t} \equiv P_{L}^{t}Q_{L}^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} r^{t}P_{Kn}^{t-1}Q_{Kn}^{t-1} + \Pi^{t}$$
(38)

925 926 The interpretation of the various macroeconomic concepts follows along the same lines as our discussions of 927 the microeconomic concepts. However, there is a reduc-928 929 tion in data requirements when we move to the national level from the individual firm or sectoral level: inter-930 mediate input transactions cancel out when we do the 931 aggregation. If we focus on production unit deliveries to 932 final demand, we do not need to collect data on inter-933 mediate input transactions. 934

The flow outputs of a PU are delivered to: (i) other domestic Production Units who use the delivered outputs as intermediate inputs or as additions to their capital stocks; (ii) domestic households; (iii) the general government sector or (iv) the export sector. The flow inputs used by a PU come from either domestic producers or imports.

Thus it can be seen that aggregate value added is equal to 942 the value of household expenditures on consumer goods and 943 services (valued at producer prices)³⁶ plus the value of gov-944 ernment (net) purchases of goods and services from the private 945 production sector plus the value of exports (before export 946 taxes) less the value of imports (after import taxes) plus the 947 aggregate value of purchased investments. Suppose we have 948 period t price and quantity indexes for these four components 949 of final demand, say P_C^t , P_G^t , P_x^t and P_M^t for prices and 950

 Q_C^t, Q_G^t, Q_x^t and Q_M^t for quantities.³⁷ Then it can be seen that 951 the following equality holds: 952

$$VA^{t} = P_{C}^{t} Q_{C}^{t} + P_{G}^{t} Q_{G}^{t} + P_{X}^{t} Q_{X}^{t} - P_{M}^{t} Q_{M}^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{IPn}^{t} Q_{IPn}^{t}$$
(39)

Now replace VA^t in (32), which defined Gross Domestic 955 Output GDO^t , and we obtain the following expression: 956

$$GDO^{t} \equiv P_{C}^{t}Q_{C}^{t} + P_{G}^{t}Q_{G}^{t} + P_{X}^{t}Q_{X}^{t} - P_{M}^{t}Q_{M}^{t} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} P_{ln}^{t}Q_{ln}^{t}$$

$$(40)$$

Neo-Austrian GDO^t is essentially equal to standard 959 expenditure side GDP at producer prices except that gross 960 investment is valued at end of period prices instead of at the 961 average prices of investment transactions during period t. 962 Thus our economy wide various output and input measures 963 defined above can be computed using standard macroeconomic data for an economy. 965

6 Conclusion

We have systematically introduced alternative output, input and income concepts, for both individual production units (such as firms) and at aggregate levels. The differences in definitions have their roots in an Austrian model of production (Böhm-Bawerk 1891) and the debate between Pigou (1941) and Hayek (1941) on the maintenance of physical versus financial capital. 973

This paper contributes to the literature by making clear 974 the definitions and their relationships, highlighting how 975 each provides a different perspective. For example, each 976 definition of output (at both individual production unit and 977 aggregate levels) provides a different perspective of pro-978 duction. Use of price deflated versions of these output 979 concepts in productivity studies will typically lead to dif-980 ferent perspectives on productivity performance. Similarly 981 for the primary output/income concepts. 982

Researchers using firm level data can use the results on individual production units from Section 3 to provide an enhanced view of sources of firm performance. More importantly for economic management, the aggregate measures presented in Section 5 could be calculated by national statistical offices, providing macroeconomists and 988

 $^{^{36}}$ Jorgenson and Griliches (1972) noted the importance of using prices that producers face in productivity studies. If an output of a domestic producer is taxed, then the producer only gets the before tax price to add to revenue; if an imported good or service is taxed, then the producer faces the after tax price and the after tax value of the input should be added to producer cost.

³⁷ However, there is a problem with taxed intermediate inputs that are produced domestically and purchased by a domestic final demander. The tax revenue raised by this internal commodity tax does not cancel out as we aggregate over units. For more on the treatment of taxes in the production accounts, see Diewert (2006).

productivity researchers with additional information that 989 can be used to better inform policy. 990

We are not advocating the abandonment of GDP; it is a 991 useful measure that serves many purposes and has the 992 advantage of requiring a minimal number of imputations. 993 But it would be useful to have a supplementary input 994 measure that better approximated the income generated by 995 domestic producers. 996

Finally, we note that our accounting approach is based 997 on a branch of commercial accounting theory and thus our 998 approach reconciles commercial accounting with national 999 income accounting. 1000

Acknowledgements We thank two anonymous referees, Paul 1001 Schrever, Bert Balk and participants at the 2023 ESCoE Conference, 1002 King's College London, for their constructive comments. 1003

1004 Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. 1005

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by CAUL and 1006 its Member Institutions. 1007

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons 1008 1009 Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as 1010 long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the 1011 1012 source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this 1013 1014 article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not 1015 1016 included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended 1017 use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted 1018 use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. 1019 org/licenses/by/4.0/. 1020

References 1021

- 1022 Anthony RN (1973) Accounting for the cost of equity. Harv Bus Rev $Q2_3$ 51:88-102
- Babbage C (1835) On the economy of machinery and manufactures. 1024 1025 4th edn. Charles Knight, London
- Balk BM (2003) The residual: on monitoring and benchmarking firms, 1026 industries, and economies with respect to productivity. J Product 1027 1028 Anal 20:5-47
- Balk BM (2010) An assumption-free framework for measuring pro-1029 ductivity change. Rev Income Wealth 56:S224-S256 1030
- 1031 Balk BM (2011) Measuring and decomposing capital input cost. Rev 1032 Income Wealth 57:490-512
- Böhm-Bawerk EV (1891) The positive theory of capital. G.E. Ste-1033 1034 chert, New York
- 1035 Brynjolfsson, E., A. Collis, W.E. Diewert, F. Eggers and K.J. Fox (2019), GDP-B: accounting for the value of new and free goods 1036 1037 in the digital economy, NBER working paper 25695, Cambridge, 1038 MA. https://www.nber.org/papers/w25695
- Canning JB (1929) The economics of accountancy. The Ronald Press 1039 1040 Company, New York
- Christensen LR, Jorgenson DW (1969) The measurement of U.S. real 1041 capital input, 1929-1967. Rev Income Wealth 15:293-320 1042

- Church AH (1901) The proper distribution of establishment charges, Parts I, II, and III. Eng Mag 21:508-517.
- Corrado. C., K.J. Fox, P. Goodridge, J. Haskel, C. Jona-Lasinio, D. 1045 Sichel and S. Westlake (2017), Improving GDP: demolishing, 1046 repointing or extending?, joint winning entry, Indigo Prize 2017, 1047 https://www.letterone.com/media/1847/indigo-journal-december-1048 2017.pdf
- Coyle, D. and B. Mitra-Kahn (2017), Making the future count, joint 1050 winning entry, Indigo Prize 2017, https://www.letterone.com/ 1051 media/1847/indigo-journal-december-2017.pdf 1052
- Daines HD (1929) The changing objectives of accounting. Account 1053 Rev4:94-110
- Davidson S, Stickney CP, Weil RL (1976) Inflation Accounting. McGraw-Hill, New York
- Diewert WE (1974) Intertemporal consumer theory and the demand for durables. Econometrica 42:497-516
- Diewert, W.E. (1977), Walras' Theory of capital formation and the existence of a temporary equilibrium, In E. Schwödiauer (ed.) Equilibrium and Disequilibrium in Economic Theory, Reidel Publishing Co pp. 73-126.
- Diewert, W.E. (1980), Aggregation Problems in the Measurement of Capital, In D. Usher (ed.), The Measurement of Capital, Chicago: The University of Chicago Press pp. 433-528.
- Diewert WE (1990) The measurement of productivity. Bull Econ Res44:163-198
- Diewert WE (1992) Fisher ideal output, input and productivity indexes revisited. J Product Anal 3:211-248
- Diewert WE (2005a) Issues in the Measurement of Capital Services, Depreciation, Asset Price Changes and Interest Rates. In: Corrado C, Haltiwanger J, Sichel D (eds.) Measuring Capital in the New Economy. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, p 479-542 1073
- Diewert WE (2005b) On Measuring Inventory Change in Current and 1074 Constant Dollars, Discussion Paper No. 05-12. Department of 1075 Economics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, 1076 Canada, V6T 1Z1 1077
- Diewert WE (2006) Comment. In: Jorgenson DaleW, Landefeld 1078 JSteven, Nordhaus WilliamD (eds.) A New Architecture for the 1079 U.S. National Accounts, NBER, Studies in Income and Wealth, 1080 Volume 66. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, p 287-307 1081
- Diewert WE (2014) The treatment of financial transactions in the 1082 SNA: a user cost approach. EURONA 2014/1:74-89 1083
- Diewert WE, Fixler D, Zieschang K (2016) Problems with the measurement of banking services in a national accounting framework. In: Hartwick JohnM (ed.) National Accounting and Economic Growth. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham UK, p 117-176
- Diewert WE, Fox KJ (1999) Can measurement error explain the productivity paradox? Can J Econ 32:251-280
- Diewert, W.E. and K.J. Fox (2016), The user cost of non-renewable 1090 resources and green accounting, vancouver school of economics, 1091 university of british Columbia discussion Paper 16-01, Vancou-1092 ver, Canada, V6T 1Z1. 1093
- Diewert WE, Fox KJ (2018) Alternative user costs, productivity and 1094 inequality in US Business Sectors. In: Green WH, Khalaf L, 1095 Makdissi P, Veall M, Voia M-C (eds.) Productivity and 1096 Inequality. Springer, New York, p 21-69 1097
- Diewert WE, Lawrence DA (2000) Progress in measuring the price 1098 and quantity of capital. In: Lau LJ (ed.) Econometrics and the 1099 Cost of Capital: Essays in Honor of Dale W. Jorgenson. The MIT 1100 Press, Cambridge MA, p 273-326 1101
- Diewert WE, Morrison CJ (1986) Adjusting output and productivity 1102 indexes for changes in the terms of trade. Econ J 96:659-679 1103
- Diewert WE, Nakamura Diewert AO (2003) Index number concepts, 1104 measures and decompositions of productivity growth. J Product 1105 Anal 19:127-159 1106

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1043

1044

- Edwards EO, Bell PW (1961) The Theory and Measurement of 1107 1108 Business Income. University of California Press, Berkeley, 1109 California
- Färe R, Grosskopf S, Norris M, Zhang Z (1994) Productivity growth, 1110 technical progress and efficiency change in industrialized coun-1111 tries. Am Econ Rev 84:66-83 1112

Stud (1945-6) 12:1-9

- 1113 Fernald J, Inklaar R (2020) Does disappointing european productivity growth reflect a slowing trend? Weighing the evidence and 1114 assessing the future. Int Product Monit 38:104-135. 1115
- 1116 Fisher I (1922) The Making of Index Numbers. Houghton-Mifflin, Boston 1117
- 1118 Griliches, Z. (1963), Capital stock in investment functions: some 1119 problems of concept and measurement, pp. 115-137 in Measurement in Economics, C. Christ and others (eds.), Stanford 1120 California: Stanford University Press; reprinted as pp. 123-143 in 1121 Technology, Education and Productivity, Z. Griliches (ed.), 1122 (1988), Oxford: Basil Blackwell. 1123
- Hayek FAV (1941) Maintaining capital intact: a reply. Economica 1124 8:276-280 1125
- Hicks JR (1946) Value and Capital. 2nd edn. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1126
- 1127 Hicks JR (1961) The measurement of capital in relation to the measurement of other economic aggregates. In: Lutz FA, Hague DC 1128 (eds.) The Theory of Capital. Macmillan, London, p 18-31 1129
- 1130 Hicks J (1973) Capital and Time: A Neo-Austrian Theory. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1131
- Ijiri Y (1969) A defense of historical cost accounting. In: Wanless PT, 1132 Forrester DAR (eds.) Readings in Inflation Accounting. John 1133 1134 Wiley and Sons, New York, p 62-74
- Ijiri Y (1979) A defence of historical cost accounting. In: Wanless PT, 1135 1136 Forrester DAR (eds.) Readings in Inflation Accounting. John Wiley and Sons, New York, p 62-74 1137
- Jorgenson DW (1963) Capital theory and investment behaviour. Am 1138 Econ Rev 53(2):247-259 1139
- 1140 Jorgenson DW (1989) Capital as a factor of production. In: Jorgenson DW, Landau R (eds.) Technology and Capital Formation. The 1141 MIT Press, Cambridge MA, p 1-35 1142
- 1143 Jorgenson DW (1996a) Empirical studies of depreciation. Econ Inq 34:24-42 1144
- 1145 Jorgenson DW (1996b) Investment: Volume 2; Tax Policy and the Cost of Capital. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts 1146
- Jorgenson DW, Griliches Z (1967) The explanation of productivity 1147 change. Rev Econ Stud 34:249-283 1148
- 1149 Jorgenson DW, Griliches Z (1972) Issues of growth accounting: a reply to Edward F. Denison. Surv Curr Bus 55(5):65-94. 1150
- Kohli U (1990) Growth accounting in the open economy: parametric 1151 and nonparametric estimates. J Econ Soc Meas 16:125-136 1152
- 1153 Kumar S, Russell RR (2002) Technological change, technological 1154 catch-up, and capital deepening: relative contributions to growth
- 1155 and convergence. Am Econ Rev 92:527-548

MCO

Marshall A (1920) Principles of Economics. 8th edn. Macmillan,	1156	
London	1157	
Malinvaud E (1953) Capital accumulation and the efficient allocation	1158	
of resources. Econometrica 21:233–268	1159	
Peasnell KV (1981) On capital budgeting and income measurement.	1160	
Abacus 17:1	1161	
Pigou AC (1941) Maintaining capital intact. Economica 8:271–275	1162	
Rymes TK (1968) Professor read and the measurement of total factor	1163	
productivity. Can J Econ 1:359–367	1164	
Rymes TK (1983) More on the measurement of total factor pro-	1165	
ductivity. Rev Income Wealth 29:297–316	1166	
Samuelson PA (1961) The evaluation of 'social income': capital for-	1167	
mation and wealth. In: Lutz FA, Hague DC (eds.) The Theory of	1168	
Capital. Macmillan, London, p 32–57	1169	
Schreyer P (2001) OECD Productivity Manual: a Guide to the Mea-	1170	
surement of Industry-Level and Aggregate Productivity Growth.	1171	
OECD, Paris	1172	
Schreyer P (2009) Measuring Capital: OECD Manual 2009. 2nd edn.	1173	
OECD, Paris	1174	
Solow RM (1957) Technical change and the aggregate production	1175	
function. Rev Econ Stat 39:312–320		
Spant R (2003) Why net domestic product should replace gross	1177	
domestic product as a measure of economic growth. Int Product	1178	
Monit 7:39–43	1179	
Stiglitz, J.E., A. Sen and J.P. Fitoussi (2009), Report by the Com-	1180	
mission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and	1181	
Social Progress, Eurostat. https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/	1182	
documents/118025/118123/Fitoussi+Commission+report	1183	
Sterling RR (19/5) Relevant financial reporting in an age of price	1184	
changes. J Account 139:42–51	1185	
OECD (2018), Beyond GDP: measuring what counts for economic	1186	
and social performance, OECD, Paris. https://www.oecd.org/	1187	
publications/beyond-gdp-9789264307292-en.htm	1188	
Walras L (1954) Elements of Pure Economics, a translation by W.	1189	
Jaffé of the Edition Définitive (1926) of the Eléments d'économie	1190	
pure, first edition published in 1874. Richard D. Irwin, Home-	1191	
wood, Illinois	1192	
Walsh CM (1901) The Measurement of General Exchange Value.		
Macmillan and Co, New York		
United Nations (2009) System of National Accounts 2008. European		
Commission, IMF, OECD, UN and World Bank, New York	1196	
von Neumann J (1937) "Über ein Okonomisches Gleichungssystem	1197	
und eine Verallgemeinerung des Brouwerschen Fixpunktsatzes",	1198	
Ergebnisse eines Mathematische Kolloquiums 8, 73-83; trans-	1199	

lated as "A Model of General Economic Equilibrium. Rev Econ

Springer

1200

Journal : 11123 Article: 701



Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Queries	Details Required	Author's Response
AQ1	Reference Garske and Fells (1893) not listed into the reference list. Please add into the list else delete text citations.	
AQ2	References Balk (2003); Diewert (1980); Diewert, Nakamura Diewert (2003); Ijiri (1969) not cited into the text. Please cite else delete from reference list.	
AQ3	Please provide publisher location in ref.Diewert (1997).	