

# **Research Question**

How does living with children affect men and women's efficiency of working from home?

# Introduction

- One of the most obvious impacts of COVID-19 on the labor force is the dramatic increase in employees working remotely.
- As many nations placed lockdown orders during the COVID-19 pandemic, K-12 schools temporarily shut down, and children's education was relocated to the internet.
- As a result, working parents who work from home must also care for their children during office hours.
- Previous literature provided evidence from survey data as well, suggesting a decrease in academic productivity in the scope of US and Europe-based academic researchers. The decrease is particularly larger for female researchers (Myers et al., 2020).



Approximately 30 percent of U.S population indicate that they are currently working from home (WFH). The following estimation of WFH efficiency focuses on this group of individuals.



# Gender Inequality in Working from Home during the COVID-19 pandemic

Author: Mingjie (Jessica) Zhong Advisors: Nicole Fortin and David Green

1. Work effici	ency:	The	Survey of Workin	g		
Arrangement	s and	Attitu	udes (SWAA)			
• Target popu	Ilatior	n: U.S	residents, 20 to	64 ve	ears	
0 1 1			ast \$20,000 in 20	•		
-			-			
			ith more than 52	-		
<i>,</i> .			I collected betwe	en N	/lay	
2020 and Sep	temb	er 20	21			
2. School clos	sure ir	ndex:	The Oxford Covi	d-19		
			Tracker (OxCGRT)			
Government	INCOPU					
• Decording c	•		•		la ta	
	evera	l com	mon policies on	a sca	le to	
	evera	l com	•	a sca	le to	
	evera	l com	mon policies on	a sca	le to	
reflect the ex <sup>-</sup>	evera tent o	l com of U.S	mon policies on government acti	a sca on		
reflect the ext	evera tent o	l com of U.S	mon policies on government acti	a sca on		
reflect the extension of the extension o	evera tent o <b>Stat</b>	l com of U.S istics	mon policies on government acti	a sca on icien	lcy	
reflect the ext Summary ercent difference between TH productivity during OVID and on business	evera tent o	l com of U.S	Imon policies on government acti sof Relative Eff Percent difference between WFH productivity during COVID and on business	a sca on		
reflect the ext Summary ercent difference between TH productivity during OVID and on business emises before COVID	evera tent o <b>Stat</b> Mean	l com of U.S istics (SE)	Imon policies on government acti <b>5 of Relative Eff</b> Percent difference between WFH productivity during	a sca on icien	lcy	
reflect the ext Summary ercent difference between TH productivity during OVID and on business emises before COVID	evera tent o <b>Stat</b>	l com of U.S istics	Imon policies on government acti sof Relative Eff Percent difference between WFH productivity during COVID and on business	a sca on icien	lcy	
reflect the ext Summary ercent difference between TH productivity during OVID and on business emises before COVID	evera tent o <b>Stat</b> Mean	l com of U.S istics (SE)	Imponision policies on government acti   Soft Relative Eff   Percent difference between   WFH productivity during   COVID and on business   premises before COVID   Ann. Earnings of \$20 to	a sca on icien	lcy	
reflect the ex Summary ercent difference between 'FH productivity during OVID and on business emises before COVID verall	evera tent o <b>Stat</b> Mean	l com of U.S istics (SE) (0.1)	Ann. Earnings of \$20 to \$50K Ann. Earnings of \$50 to	a sca on icien	(SE)	
reflect the ex Summary ercent difference between 'FH productivity during OVID and on business emises before COVID verall	evera tent o Stat Mean 5.8	l com of U.S istics (SE) (0.1)	Imponing policies on government action   Soft Relative Eff   Percent difference between   WFH productivity during   COVID and on business   premises before COVID   Ann. Earnings of \$20 to   \$50K	a sca on icien Mean 4.4 5.9	(SE) (0.2) (0.2)	
reflect the ex <sup>-</sup>	evera tent o Stat Mean 5.8	l com of U.S istics (SE) (0.1)	Imposition policies on government acting   Soft Relative Eff   Percent difference between WFH productivity during COVID and on business premises before COVID   Ann. Earnings of \$20 to \$50K   Ann. Earnings of \$20 to \$100K	a sca on icien Mean 4.4	(SE) (0.2)	

Percent difference between WFH productivity during COVID and on business premises before COVID	Mean	(SE)	Percent difference between WFH productivity during COVID and on business premises before COVID	Mean	(SE)
Overall	5.8	(0.1)	-		
Women	5.4	(0.1)	Ann. Earnings of \$20 to \$50K	4.4	(0.2)
Aen	6.1	(0.1)	Ann. Earnings of \$50 to \$100K	5.9	(0.2)
			Ann. Earnings of \$100 to \$150K	9.1	(0.2)
Age 20 to 29	6.4	(0.2)	Ann. Earnings of over \$150K	11.9	(0.2)
Age 30 to 39	7.2	(0.2)			
Age 40 to 49	5.9	(0.2)	Goods-producing sectors	5.4	(0.2)
Age 50 to 64	3.6	(0.2)	Service sectors	5.9	(0.1)
Less than high school	2.7	(1.1)	No children	4.7	(0.2)
High school	4.3	(0.3)	Living with children under 18	7.0	(0.1)
to 3 years of college	5.3	(0.2)			
l year college degree	6.3	(0.2)	Living with adults	5.9	(0.3)
Graduate degree	7.2	(0.2)	Not living with adults	5.6	(0.1)

#### **Gender Difference in Relative WFH Efficiency**

	Female		Male		
-	Mean	(SE)	Mean	(SE)	
No children	5.2	(0.2)	4.3	(0.2)	
Has children under 18	5.8	(0.2)	7.9	(0.1)	
Not living with adults	5.6	(0.4)	5.7	(0.4)	
Living with adults	5.4	(0.2)	6.2	(0.1)	

Time Spent on Childcare Each Week Before and After COVID by Gender

	Fen	nale	Male		
	Mean	(SE)	Mean	(SE)	
Before COVID	21.2	(0.5)	14.4	(0.3)	
After COVID	25.1	(0.6)	16.5	(0.3)	

# Methodology – OLS and IV

### **DLS Regression**

 $Efficiency_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}Female_{i} + \beta_{2}Children_{i} + \beta_{3}Female \times Children_{i} + \beta_{4}X_{i} + \gamma_{i} + \delta_{i} + \theta_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

Efficiency<sub>i</sub>: the responses from survey question "How efficient are you WFH during COVID, relative to on business premises before COVID (%)"

Female<sub>i</sub>: dummy variable (1 the gender is female)

*Children<sub>i</sub>*: dummy variable (1 living with children under 18) *C<sub>i</sub>*: control variables (years of education, log(income), Joe Biden vote share, and internet quality)

 $\gamma_i$ ,  $\delta_i$ ,  $\theta_i$ : survey wave fixed effects, age bin fixed effects, and vork industry fixed effects

 $\varepsilon_i$ : error term

#### V Regression

Why? The impact of children on work efficiency depends on the legree to which schools, workplace, and public events closed.

irst-stage:

 $Children_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_i$ PublicEventsClose<sub>i</sub> +  $\alpha_2 X_i + \gamma_i + \delta_i + \theta_i + u_i$ 

**PublicEventsClose**<sub>i</sub>: record cancelling public events

- 0 no measures
- 1 recommend cancelling
- 2 require cancelling

Second-stage:

 $Efficiency_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}Female_{i} + \beta_{2}Children_{i} + \beta_{3}Female \times Children_{i} + \beta_{4}X_{i} + \gamma_{i} + \delta_{i} + \theta_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}$ 

## **Estimation Results - OLS**

The Impact of Living with Children on WFH Efficiency

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Dependent Variable	Relative e	fficiency of V	VFH during C	OVID vs. on	business prem	ises before Co	OVID (%)
Female	-0.547**	0.900**	1.023***	1.036***	1.085***	1.359***	1.727***
	(0.252)	(0.373)	(0.374)	(0.374)	(0.370)	(0.366)	(0.370)
Has children	2.308***	3.677***	2.851***	2.831***	2.809***	2.323***	2.033***
	(0.253)	(0.365)	(0.366)	(0.366)	(0.363)	(0.376)	(0.376)
Famle*1 Has hildren		-2.974***	-2.290***	-2.250***	-2.225***	-2.367***	-1.989***
		(0.502)	(0.502)	(0.503)	(0.499)	(0.497)	(0.495)
lears of education			0.232***	0.227***	0.181***	0.174***	0.237***
			(0.066)	(0.066)	(0.066)	(0.066)	(0.067)
og(income)			2.438***	2.394***	2.149***	2.664***	2.193***
			(0.207)	(0.208)	(0.207)	(0.209)	(0.212)
oe Biden vote share				2.569*	2.203	1.394	1.059
				(1.376)	(1.362)	(1.359)	(1.348)
nternet quality					15.504***	15.594***	14.726***
					(1.071)	(1.070)	(1.066)
Survey wave F.E.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Agebin F.E.						Yes	Yes
ndustry F.E.							Yes
Observations	33535	33535	33535	33535	33535	33535	33507
R-squared	0.017	0.019	0.029	0.030	0.043	0.050	0.067



Expla

Cance

Contro

Cragg

Numb Notes

Selection of Instrument: The impact of children on WFH efficiency depends on the degree to which public events closed.

Dependent Variable: Relative efficiency of WFH during COVID vs. on business premises before COVID (%)

robust.

# **Estimation Results - IV**

**First-stage IV regression Results** 

anatory Variable	Whether or not living with children
el Public Events	0.0297***
	(0.007)
rols and Fixed Effects	Yes
g-Donald Wald F-statistic	37.255
g-Donald Wald I -statistic	57.235
har of observations	22507
ber of observations	33507
s: Standard errors in parentheses * p<0.1 **	p<0.05 *** p<0.01.

The higher the level of public events closure, the greater the impact from living with children.

#### The Comparison of OLS and IV Regression Results

-	(1)	(2)
-	OLS results	IV results
1 Female	1.727***	1.689***
	(0.370)	(0.261)
1 Has children	2.033***	2.219***
	(0.376)	(0.262)
1 Famle*1 Has children	-1.989***	-2.172***
	(0.495)	(0.371)
Other controls and fixed effects	Yes	Yes
Observations	33507	33507
R-squared	0.067	0.028

OLS and IV results are consistent. Estimation results are

### Conclusion

In the case of no children, women are slightly more productive (1.7%) more productive than men.

In the case of living with children, men with children increase their productivity by 2%, while women remain the same.

Gender differences in WFH efficiency between males and females are eliminated when they both live with children under 18.

### Future Research

To collect data of marital status

To study if there are differences in productivity between single and married individuals